arXiv:1210.1392v1 [math.FA] 4 Oct 2012

Kolmogorov and linear widths of the weighted Besov classes with singularity at the origin

A.A. Vasil'eva*

Abstract

In this paper we obtain asymptotic estimates of Kolmogorov and linear widths of the weighted Besov classes with singularity at the origin. In addition, estimates of Kolmogorov and linear widths of finite-dimensional balls in a mixed norm are obtained.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to obtain asymptotic behavior of the Kolmogorov and linear widths of embeddings of the weighted Besov spaces with weight functions having a strong singularity at the origin.

Denote $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+$ the sets of natural, integer, nonnegative integer, real and nonnegative real numbers, respectively.

For $1 < p < \infty$ put $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

Definition 1. *Let* $w : \mathbb{R}^d \to (0, +\infty)$ *be a locally integrable function, and* $1 < p < \infty$. *Then* w *belongs to the Muckenhoupt class* $\mathcal{A}_p$, *if there exists a constant* $A > 0$ *such that for all balls* $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ *the following inequality holds:*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_B w(x)\,dx\right)^{1/p}\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_B w^{-p'/p}(x)\,dx\right)^{1/p'} \leqslant A. \qquad (1)$$

Denote $\mathcal{A}_\infty = \cup_{p>1}\mathcal{A}_p$.

Let $w : \mathbb{R}^d \to (0, +\infty)$, $0 < p < +\infty$, and let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Denote

$$\|f\|_{L_p(w)} = \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^p w(x)\,dx\right)^{1/p},$$

$\|f\|_{L_\infty(w)} = \|f\|_{L_\infty}$.

---

[*] E-mail address: vasilyeva_nastya@inbox.ru; telephone number: +7 495 939 56 32.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Schwartz space and its dual, respectively. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote $\mathcal{F}(f)$ the Fourier transform of f.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that

$$\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subset \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| < 2\}, \quad \varphi(x) = 1 \text{ for } |x| \leqslant 1,$$

$\varphi_0 = \varphi$, $\varphi_j(x) = \varphi(2^{-j}x) - \varphi(2^{-j+1}x)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2. *Let $0 < p \leqslant \infty$, $0 < q \leqslant \infty$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$. The weighted Besov space $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d,\, w)$ is the set of all distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d,\, w)} := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_j \mathcal{F} f)\|^q_{L_p(w)}\right)^{1/q}$$

is finite. In the case $q = \infty$ the usual modification is required.

The space $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d,\, w)$ is quasi-Banach, and if $p \geqslant 1$ and $q \geqslant 1$, then it is a Banach space.

Properties of the Besov spaces with the weight $w \equiv 1$ can be found in monographs of Triebel [1–5]. In [6, 7] the Besov spaces with admissible weights were considered. Examples of such weights are $w(x) = (1+\|x\|_2^2)^{\alpha/2}$, where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The case $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ first was studied by Bui [9], more general weights were considered by Bownik, Frazier and Roudenko [8, 10]. In recent papers of Haroske, Skrypczak, Piotrowska and Schneider [11–13] different characteristics of the Besov spaces with Muckenhoupt weights were studied (for example, atomic decompositions, wavelet characterizations, and growth envelopes).

Let X be a normed space, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ be a set of subspaces in X of dimension at most n. Denote $L(X,\, Y)$ the space of linear continuous operators from X into a normed space Y, by $\operatorname{rk} A$ we denote the dimension of image of an operator $A : X \to Y$, and by $\|A\|_{X\to Y}$ its norm. By the Kolmogorov n-width of a set $M \subset X$ in the space X we mean the quantity

$$d_n(M,\, X) = \inf_{L \in \mathcal{L}_n(X)} \sup_{x\in M} \inf_{y \in L} \|x - y\|_X,$$

and by linear n-width we mean the quantity

$$\lambda_n(M,\, X) = \inf_{A \in L(X,\, X),\, \operatorname{rk} A \leqslant n} \sup_{x \in M} \|x - Ax\|_X.$$

The approximation numbers of an operator $A \in L(X,\, Y)$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_n(A : X \to Y) = \inf\{\|A - A_n\|_{X\to Y} : \operatorname{rk} A_n \leqslant n\}.$$

If $M \subset X$ is the unit ball, then we denote $d_n(A(M),\, Y) =: d_n(A : X \to Y)$ and $\lambda_n(A(M),\, Y) =: \lambda_n(A : X \to Y)$. If Id is a compact operator of embedding of X into Y, then it follows from Heinrich's results in [14] (see Theorem 3.1) that

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mathrm{Id} : X \to Y) = \lambda_n(\mathrm{Id} : X \to Y). \tag{2}$$

Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Denote l_p^n the linear space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm

$$\|(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\|_p \equiv \|(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\|_{l_p^n} = \begin{cases} (|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p)^{1/p}, & \text{if } p < \infty, \\ \max\{|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|\}, & \text{if } p = \infty, \end{cases}$$

by B_p^n denote the unit ball in l_p^n.

In the sixties and seventies of the 20th century, problems on the values of the widths were studied for function classes in L_q (see [15–28], and also [29–31]) and for the finite-dimensional balls B_p^n in l_q^n. For $p \geqslant q$, Pietsch [32] and Stesin [33] found exact values of $d_n(B_p^\nu, l_q^\nu)$ and $\lambda_n(B_p^\nu, l_q^\nu)$. In the case of $p < q$, Kashin [19], Gluskin [34, 35], and Garnaev and Gluskin [36] determined order values of the widths of finite-dimensional balls up to quantities depending on p and q only.

Order estimates for the widths of nonweighted Sobolev classes were obtained by Tikhomirov, Ismagilov, Makovoz, Kashin, Temlyakov, Galeev and Kulanin [15, 16, 18, 19, 21–26, 28].

Estimates for Kolmogorov and linear widths of weighted Sobolev classes on smooth bounded domains with weights of the form $w(x) = \big[\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)\big]^\alpha$ were obtained by Triebel [1] (here $\mathrm{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ is a distance from x to $\partial\Omega$). Estimates for linear widths of weighted Sobolev classes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with weights of the form $w_\alpha(x) = (1 + \|x\|_2^2)^{\alpha/2}$ were established by Mynbaev and Otelbaev in [37].

Denote by $|\cdot|$ an arbitrary norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Problems on estimates of the entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces were studied in papers of Triebel, Haroske, Skrzypczak, Kühn, Leopold, Sickel, Caetano [13, 38–49]; Kolmogorov and Gelfand widths were considered by Gąsiorowska, Skrzypczak, Shun Zhang, Gensun Fang, Fanglun Huang [50–52], and Weyl numbers were studied in [50]. In addition, asymptotic behavior of Kolmogorov, Gelfand and linear widths of non-weighted Besov spaces on a bounded domain were found in the paper of Vybiral [53]. In [39, 40, 44, 49, 51, 52] weights of form $w_\alpha(x) = (1 + \|x\|_2^2)^{\alpha/2}$ were considered. In [13] asymptotic estimates of the approximation and entropy numbers of embedding operator $\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ were obtained for

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^\alpha, & |x| \leqslant 1, \\ |x|^\beta, & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

In [47] there was found a sufficient condition on a weight w (in terms of Muckenhoupt condition), under which the approximation numbers of embedding operator $\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1, q_1}(Q, w) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2, q_2}(Q)$ have the same asymptotic behavior as in the non-weighted case. Here $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a cube,

$$B^s_{p,q}(Q, w) = \{f|_Q : f \in B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d, w)\}.$$

In [48] for the weight function

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{\alpha_1}(1 - \log|x|)^{\beta_1}, & |x| \leqslant 1, \\ |x|^{\alpha_2}(1 + \log|x|)^{\beta_2}, & |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

asymptotic behavior of the entropy numbers of the operator $\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1, q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2, q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ were obtained under some conditions on parameters. Here the parameters

α_1 and β_1 did not affect the asymptotic. In [46, 50] weights of logarithmic type were considered. Examples of such weights are continuous positive functions such that $c_1(\log|x|)^\alpha \leqslant w(x) \leqslant c_2(\log|x|)^\alpha$ for sufficiently large $|x|$ ($0 < c_1 \leqslant c_2 < \infty$).

Similar problems for radial Besov spaces were studied in [54, 55].

Let us formulate the main result of this paper. We first give necessary notations and formulate the main theorem, and then compare this result with those previously known.

We denote $\log_2 x = \frac{\log x}{\log 2}$.

Let X, Y be sets, and $f_1,\, f_2 : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}_+$. We denote $f_1(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\lesssim} f_2(x,\, y)$ ($f_2(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\gtrsim} f_1(x,\, y)$), or $f_1(x,\, y) \overset{x}{\lesssim} f_2(x,\, y)$ ($f_2(x,\, y) \overset{x}{\gtrsim} f_1(x,\, y)$), if there exists a positive function $c : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_1(x,\, y) \leqslant c(y) f_2(x,\, y)$ for any $x \in X$. Denote $f_1(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\asymp} f_2(x,\, y)$ (or $f_1(x,\, y) \overset{x}{\asymp} f_2(x,\, y)$), if $f_1(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\lesssim} f_2(x,\, y) \underset{y}{\lesssim} f_1(x,\, y)$.

For $s_1,\, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 < p_1,\, q_1 \leqslant +\infty$, $1 \leqslant p_2,\, q_2 < +\infty$ we put $\delta := s_1 - s_2 + \frac{d}{p_2} - \frac{d}{p_1}$. We shall consider the case $1 < p_1 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$, $1 < q_1 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$.

Following [56], define functions g and v.

Let $\delta > 0$, $\beta_g,\, \beta_v \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta_g + \beta_v = \delta$, $\beta_g > -\frac{d}{p_1}$, $\beta_v < \frac{d}{p_2}$, $\gamma_g > -\frac{d}{p_1}$, $\gamma_v < \frac{d}{p_2}$, $\gamma_g + \gamma_v > \delta$, $\alpha_g + \alpha_v > 0$, $\rho_g,\, \rho_v : [1,\, +\infty) \to (0,\, +\infty)$ be absolute continuous functions such that

$$\lim_{y\to+\infty} \frac{y\rho_g'(y)}{\rho_g(y)} = 0, \quad \lim_{y\to+\infty} \frac{y\rho_v'(y)}{\rho_v(y)} = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-\beta_g} |\log_2|x||^{-\alpha_g} \rho_g(|\log_2|x||), & \text{if } |x| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \\ |x|^{-\gamma_g}, & \text{if } |x| > \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-\beta_v} |\log_2|x||^{-\alpha_v} \rho_v(|\log_2|x||), & \text{if } |x| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \\ |x|^{-\gamma_v}, & \text{if } |x| > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let $w_1(x) = g^{-p_1}(x)$, $w_2(x) = v^{p_2}(x)$. Then $w_1,\, w_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$. Indeed, there exist $\underline{c} > 0$, $\overline{c} > 0$ such that for any $0 < r \leqslant t \leqslant 2r$ we have $\underline{c} \leqslant \frac{w_i(r)}{w_i(t)} \leqslant \overline{c}$, $i = 1,\, 2$. Hence, it is sufficient to consider balls B centered at the origin. If $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$ is the radius of the ball B, then

$$\int\limits_B w_1(x)\, dx = \int\limits_B |x|^{p_1\beta_g} |\log_2|x||^{p_1\alpha_g} \rho_g^{-p_1}(|\log_2|x||)\, dx \overset{r}{\asymp} r^{\beta_g p_1 + d} |\log_2 r|^{\alpha_g p_1} \rho_g(|\log_2 r|)^{-p_1}, \tag{4}$$

$$\int\limits_B w_2(x)\, dx = \int\limits_B |x|^{-p_2\beta_v} |\log_2|x||^{-p_2\alpha_v} \rho_v^{p_2}(|\log_2|x||)\, dx \overset{r}{\asymp} r^{-\beta_v p_2 + d} |\log_2 r|^{-\alpha_v p_2} \rho_v(|\log_2 r|)^{p_2}, \tag{5}$$

and for sufficiently large $\theta > 1$ we get

$$\int\limits_B w_1^{-\theta'/\theta}(x)\,dx \overset{r}{\lesssim} r^{-\frac{\beta_g p_1\theta'}{\theta}+d}|\log_2 r|^{-\frac{\alpha_g p_1\theta'}{\theta}}\rho_g(|\log_2 r|)^{\frac{p_1\theta'}{\theta}},$$

$$\int\limits_B w_2^{-\theta'/\theta}(x)\,dx \overset{r}{\lesssim} r^{\frac{\beta_v p_2\theta'}{\theta}+d}|\log_2 r|^{\frac{\alpha_v p_2\theta'}{\theta}}\rho_v(|\log_2 r|)^{-\frac{p_2\theta'}{\theta}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_B w_i(x)\,dx\right)^{1/\theta}\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_B w_i^{-\theta'/\theta}(x)\,dx\right)^{1/\theta'} \overset{r}{\lesssim} 1.$$

Similar estimates can be obtained for $r \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, $w_i \in \mathcal{A}_\theta$, $i = 1, 2$.

Denote $\alpha = \alpha_g + \alpha_v$, $\rho(y) = \rho_g(y)\rho_v(y)$. Then $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{y\to+\infty}\frac{y\rho'(y)}{\rho(y)} = 0. \tag{6}$$

For $1 \leqslant p_1 \leqslant p_2 \leqslant \infty$ such that $p_2 \geqslant 2$ we denote $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2)$,

$$\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \min\left\{\frac{\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{1}{p_2}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2}},\, 1\right\} \tag{7}$$

(if $p_2 = 2$, we put $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = 1$). Let us remark that if $p_2 > 2$, then

$$\lambda(\mathbf{p}) < 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow p_1 > 2. \tag{8}$$

Denote

$$J_* = J_*(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = \left\{\begin{array}{l} \{1, 2, 3, 4\},\ \text{if } p_2 > 2,\ q_2 > 2, \\ \{1, 2, 3\},\ \text{if } p_2 > 2,\ q_2 = 2, \\ \{2, 3, 4\},\ \text{if } p_2 = 2,\ q_2 > 2, \end{array}\right.$$

$$J_{**} = J_{**}(p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2) = \left\{\begin{array}{l} \{1, 2, 3, 4\},\ \text{if } \min\{p_2, p_1'\} > 2,\ \min\{q_2, q_1'\} > 2, \\ \{1, 2, 3\},\ \text{if } \min\{p_2, p_1'\} > 2,\ \min\{q_2, q_1'\} = 2, \\ \{2, 3, 4\},\ \text{if } \min\{p_2, p_1'\} = 2,\ \min\{q_2, q_1'\} > 2. \end{array}\right.$$

Theorem 1. *1. Let $1 < p_1 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$, $1 < q_1 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$, $p_2 \geqslant 2$, $q_2 \geqslant 2$,*

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2} - \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2},\quad \theta_2 = \frac{p_2\delta}{2d},$$

$$\theta_3 = \alpha + \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2} - \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2},\quad \theta_4 = \frac{q_2\alpha}{2},$$

$\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$, $\sigma_3 = 1$, $\sigma_4 = \frac{q_2}{2}$. Suppose that there exists $j_* \in J_*$ such that $\theta_{j_*} < \min_{j\in J_*\setminus\{j_*\}}\theta_j$. Then

$$d_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \overset{n}{\asymp} n^{-\theta_{j_*}}\rho(n^{\sigma_{j_*}}).$$

2. *Let* $1 < p_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$, $1 < q_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$,

$$\widetilde{\theta}_1 = \frac{\delta}{d} + \min\left\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_2}, \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{\theta}_2 = \frac{\min\{p_2, p_1'\}\delta}{2d},$$

$$\widetilde{\theta}_3 = \alpha + \min\left\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2}, \frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \quad \widetilde{\theta}_4 = \frac{\min\{q_2, q_1'\}\alpha}{2},$$

$\widetilde{\sigma}_1 = \widetilde{\sigma}_2 = 0$, $\widetilde{\sigma}_3 = 1$, $\widetilde{\sigma}_4 = \frac{\min\{q_2, q_1'\}}{2}$. *Suppose that there exists* $j_* \in J_{**}$ *such that* $\widetilde{\theta}_{j_*} < \min_{j \in J_{**} \backslash \{j_*\}} \widetilde{\theta}_j$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) =$$

$$= \lambda_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \overset{n}{\asymp} n^{-\widetilde{\theta}_{j_*}} \rho(n^{\widetilde{\sigma}_{j_*}}).$$

3. *Let* $1 < p_1 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$, $1 < q_1 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$. *If* $p_2 \leqslant 2$, $q_2 \leqslant 2$ *and* $\alpha \neq \frac{\delta}{d}$, *then*

$$d_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \overset{n}{\asymp} \lambda_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) =$$

$$= \mathcal{A}_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \overset{n}{\asymp} \max\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}}, n^{-\alpha}\rho(n)\}.$$

If $p_1 \geqslant 2$, $q_1 \geqslant 2$ *and* $\alpha \neq \frac{\delta}{d}$, *then*

$$\lambda_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) =$$

$$= \mathcal{A}_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \overset{n}{\asymp} \max\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}}, n^{-\alpha}\rho(n)\}.$$

Under the hypotheses of this theorem, $d_n \overset{n}{\asymp} \lambda_n$ for $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \geqslant 1$ and $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \geqslant 1$. In other cases, the orders of Kolmogorov and linear widths are different in general.

Let us compare Theorem 1 with the available results. Given $w_2(x) \equiv 1$, we have $\beta_g = \delta$, $\alpha_g = \alpha$ and $\rho_g = \rho$. If $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large and $\widetilde{\theta}_1 \neq \widetilde{\theta}_2$, then

$$\lambda_n\big(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)\big) \overset{n}{\asymp} n^{-\min\{\widetilde{\theta}_1, \widetilde{\theta}_2\}}. \tag{9}$$

In [13] the function $\overline{w}_1 = \overline{g}^{-p_1}(x)$ with $\beta_{\overline{g}} < \delta$, $\alpha_{\overline{g}} = 0$, $\rho_{\overline{g}}(x) \equiv 1$ was considered. Let $\gamma_{\overline{g}} > \delta$. In this case, $B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \overline{w}_1) \subset B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1)$, and the result of [13] on approximation numbers follows from (9). It is also worth noting that if α is sufficiently small, then the asymptotics depends on the parameters q_1 and q_2. In the previous results (see, e.g., [13, 47, 48, 51]), the orders of widths and entropy numbers were independent of q's (except for some limiting cases, in which q was contained in the exponent of a logarithmic factor).

The similar result for widths of weighted Sobolev classes on a segment was obtained in [56].

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on estimates for the Kolmogorov and linear widths of finite-dimensional balls in the mixed norm, as obtained in Theorems 2 and 3. Some other relations between parameters follow from the estimates for the Kolmogorov widths of such sets, as obtained by Izaak and Galeev in [57–59], where the cases $p_1 = 1$, $q_1 = \infty$, $p_2 = 2$ or $1 < p_2 \leqslant \min\{q_2, 2\}$, $1 \leqslant q_2 \leqslant \infty$ were examined.

In the present paper, we obtain order estimates for the Kolmogorov widths with $p_2 \geqslant \max\{p_1, 2\}$, $q_2 \geqslant \max\{q_1, 2\}$, and derive upper estimates for the linear widths with $1 < p_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$ and $1 < q_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$. Our approach is an extension of the argument used by Gluskin [34, 35]. The most nontrivial steps (general results on the Gelfand and linear widths and the construction of a polyhedron) were already made in [35]. To obtain the upper estimates for the Kolmogorov widths, it remains to apply the Hölder inequalities several times. The proof of the lower estimates is an extension of the arguments of [34]. The upper estimates of the linear widths depend on properties of the Gluskin polyhedrons and uses a construction of polyhedrons of a more general form.

2 Preliminary results

Let us formulate the result which was proved by Kashin [19] and Gluskin [35].

Theorem A. *Let* $1 < p \leqslant q < \infty$. *Then*

$$d_n(B_p^\nu, l_q^\nu) \underset{q,p}{\asymp} \Phi(n, \nu, p, q), \tag{10}$$

$$\lambda_n(B_p^\nu, l_q^\nu) \underset{q,p}{\asymp} \Psi(n, \nu, p, q), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\Phi(n, \nu, p, q) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \min\left\{1, \left(\nu^{1/q} n^{-1/2}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)/\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right\}, \; 2 \leqslant p \leqslant q < \infty, \\ \max\left\{\nu^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}, \min\left(1, \nu^{\frac{1}{q}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(1-\frac{n}{\nu}\right)^{1/2}\right\}, \; 1 < p < 2 \leqslant q < \infty, \\ \max\left\{\nu^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}, \left(1-\frac{n}{\nu}\right)^{\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)/\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)}\right\}, \; 1 < p \leqslant q \leqslant 2, \end{array}\right.$$

$$\Psi(n, \nu, p, q) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Phi(n, \nu, p, q), \; \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geqslant 1, \\ \Phi(n, \nu, q', p'), \; \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leqslant 1. \end{array}\right.$$

It was proved in the paper of D.D. Haroske and L. Skrzypczak [13] that the space $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d, w)$ is isomorphic to a certain space of sequences. Let us give the precise formulation of their result.

Let $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Denote $Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}$ the d-dimensional cube with sides parallel to coordinate axes, centered at $2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m}$ and with side length $2^{-\nu}$,

$$\chi^{(p)}_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2^{\frac{\nu d}{p}}, \; x \in Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}, \\ 0, \; x \notin Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}. \end{array}\right.$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$, $\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Denote

$$w(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}) = \int\limits_{Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}} w(x)\,dx,$$

$$\|(\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\,\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{b^\sigma_{p,q}(w)} = \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty 2^{\nu\sigma q}\left\|\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}\chi^{(p)}_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}\right\|^q_{L_p(w)}\right)^{1/q} =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty 2^{\nu q\left(\sigma+\frac{d}{p}\right)}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}|^p w(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})\right)^{q/p}\right)^{1/q},$$

$$b^\sigma_{p,q}(w) = \left\{(\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\,\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} : \lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}} \in \mathbb{C},\ \|(\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\,\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\|_{b^\sigma_{p,q}(w)} < \infty\right\}.$$

The space $b^\sigma_{p,q}(w)$ is quasi-Banach (and Banach, if $p \geqslant 1$, $q \geqslant 1$).

Let p_i, $q_i > 0$, s_i, $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $w_i \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$, $i = 1, 2$, $B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \subset B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)$, $b^{\sigma_1}_{p_1,q_1}(w_1) \subset b^{\sigma_2}_{p_2,q_2}(w_2)$. We shall denote by $\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)$ and $\mathrm{id} : b^{\sigma_1}_{p_1,q_1}(w_1) \to b^{\sigma_2}_{p_2,q_2}(w_2)$ the natural embedding operators.

Denote $\sigma(s, p) = s + \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d}{p}$.

Theorem B. *For any weight function $w \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ and any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, p, $q \in (0, +\infty)$ there exists an isomorphism $T_{s,p,q,w} : B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d, w) \to b^{\sigma(s,p)}_{p,q}(w)$. Moreover, for any w_1, $w_2 \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$, $s_i \in \mathbb{R}$, p_i, $q_i \in (0, +\infty)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that the operator $\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)$ or $\mathrm{id} : b^{\sigma(s_1,p_1)}_{p_1,q_1}(w_1) \to b^{\sigma(s_2,p_2)}_{p_2,q_2}(w_2)$ is continuous, the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \arrow[r, "T_{s_1,p_1,q_1,w_1}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"'] & b^{\sigma(s_1,p_1)}_{p_1,q_1}(w_1) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] \\
B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2) \arrow[r, "T_{s_2,p_2,q_2,w_2}"] & b^{\sigma(s_2,p_2)}_{p_2,q_2}(w_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative.

Also in the paper [13] a criterion for the existence of continuous and compact embedding of weighted Besov spaces was obtained. We shall use the following form of this result. For $\lambda = (\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ denote

$$(S\lambda)_{\nu,\mathbf{m}} = \widetilde{\lambda}_{\nu,\mathbf{m}} = (w_2(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}))^{1/p_2} 2^{\nu\left(\sigma(s_2,p_2)+\frac{d}{p_2}\right)}\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}.$$

Let X_1, $\widetilde{X}_1$ and X_2 be spaces of sequences $\widetilde{\lambda} = (\widetilde{\lambda}_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ with

$$\|\widetilde{\lambda}\|_{X_1} = \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^\infty 2^{\nu q_1(s_1-s_2)}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} w_1(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})w_2(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})^{-p_1/p_2}|\widetilde{\lambda}_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}|^{p_1}\right)^{q_1/p_1}\right)^{1/q_1}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\|\widetilde{\lambda}\|_{\widetilde{X}_1} = \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\widetilde{\lambda}_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}|^{p_1}\right)^{q_1/p_1}\right)^{1/q_1} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\|\widetilde{\lambda}\|_{X_2} = \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|\widetilde{\lambda}_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2}\right)^{1/q_2}, \tag{14}$$

respectively. Define operators $\widetilde{T}_i : B^{s_i}_{p_i,q_i}(\mathbb{R}^d,\, w_i) \to X_i$ by the formula $\widetilde{T}_i = S\cdot T_{s_i,p_i,q_i,w_i}$, $i = 1,\, 2$. Then $\widetilde{T}_i$ are isomorphisms and the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d,\, w_1) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{T}_1"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}"'] & X_1 \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"'] \\
B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d,\, w_2) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{T}_2"] & X_2
\end{tikzcd} \tag{15}$$

is commutative.

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}^d$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\,\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ denote

$$(P_{\mathcal{N}}\lambda)_{\nu,\,\mathbf{m}} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{\nu,\,\mathbf{m}}, & \text{if } (\nu,\,\mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : (\nu,\,\mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$

If $\mathcal{N} \neq \varnothing$, $p_1 \leqslant p_2$ and $q_1 \leqslant q_2$, then $\|P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{\widetilde{X}_1\to X_2} = 1$. In addition,

$$\|P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{X_1\to\widetilde{X}_1} = \sup_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\,\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{M}_\nu} 2^{-\nu(s_1-s_2)}(w_1(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}))^{-1/p_1}(w_2(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}))^{1/p_2}, \tag{17}$$

$$\|P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{\widetilde{X}_1\to X_1} = \sup_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\,\mathbf{m}\in\mathcal{M}_\nu} 2^{\nu(s_1-s_2)}(w_1(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}))^{1/p_1}(w_2(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}))^{-1/p_2} \tag{18}$$

(it follows from results of the paper [45] or can be proved directly).

Remark. If $p_1 > p_2$ or $q_1 > q_2$ then the value of $\|P_{\mathcal{N}}\|_{X_1\to X_2}$ was calculated in [45].

Let X, Y, Z be normed spaces, $M \subset X$, let $A : X \to Y$, $T : Z \to X$ be linear continuous operators. It can be easily shown that

$$d_n(AM,\, Y) \leqslant \|A\| d_n(M,\, X), \quad \mathcal{A}_n(AT : Z \to Y) \leqslant \|A\|\mathcal{A}_n(T : Z \to X), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}^d$, then

$$d_n(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \geqslant d_n(P_{\mathcal{N}'} : X_1 \to P_{\mathcal{N}'}X_2), \tag{19}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_n(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \geqslant \mathcal{A}_n(P_{\mathcal{N}'} : X_1 \to P_{\mathcal{N}'}X_2). \tag{20}$$

3 Estimates for Kolmogorov widths of finite-dimensional balls in a mixed norm

Let m, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leqslant p$, $q < \infty$, let $l^{m,k}_{p,q}$ be the space $\mathbb{R}^{mk}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|(x_{ij})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m,\, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k}\|_{l^{m,k}_{p,q}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^p\right)^{q/p}\right)^{1/q}$$

and $B^{m,k}_{p,q}$ be the unit ball of this space. The dual space of $l^{m,k}_{p,q}$ coincides with $l^{m,k}_{p',q'}$.

We denote by B_X the unit ball of a normed space X; by X^* denote the dual space of X.

The following lemma (see [34]) is needed for the sequel.

Lemma 1. *Let $X_0 \subset X_\theta \subset X_1$ be N-dimensional normed spaces and $0 < \theta < 1$. Let for any $x^* \in X_1^*$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\|x^*\|_{X_\theta^*} \leqslant \|x^*\|^{1-\theta}_{X_0^*} \|x^*\|^{\theta}_{X_1^*}.$$

Then for any $n \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$ we have

$$d_n(B_{X_\theta}, X_1) \leqslant (d_n(B_{X_0}, X_1))^{1-\theta}. \tag{21}$$

Proposition 1. *Let $1 < p$, $q < \infty$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $c_1(p, q) > 0$ such that for any $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ the following inequality holds:*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r |1 - x_i|^p\right)^{q/p} \geqslant \frac{r^{q/p}}{2} + c_1(p, q) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |x_i|^p\right)^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \sum_{i=1}^r x_i. \tag{22}$$

Here we may assume that $c_1(p, q)$ continuously depends on (p, q).

Proof. Note that the left-hand side of (22) is convex with respect to x. Therefore,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r |1 - x_i|^p\right)^{q/p} \geqslant r^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \sum_{i=1}^r x_i.$$

If $\sum\limits_{i=1}^r |x_i|^p \leqslant t \cdot r$ then there exists a number $c_2(p, q, t)$ such that

$$r^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \geqslant \frac{1}{2} r^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \sum_{i=1}^r x_i + c_2(p, q, t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |x_i|^p\right)^{q/p}.$$

Let us prove that there exists a number $t_0(p, q) > 0$ such that, if $\sum\limits_{i=1}^r |x_i|^p \geqslant t_0(p, q) r$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^r |1 - x_i|^p\right)^{q/p} \geqslant r^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \sum_{i=1}^r x_i + 2^{-q} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r |x_i|^p\right)^{q/p}.$$

Indeed, by the inequality $(a+b)^q \leqslant 2^{q-1}(a^q+b^q)$ and the Minkowski inequality we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} |1-x_i|^p\right)^{q/p} \geqslant 2^{1-q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} |x_i|^p\right)^{q/p} - r^{q/p}.$$

We claim that for sufficiently large $t_0(p,\, q)$ the right-hand side is minorized by

$$2^{-q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} |x_i|^p\right)^{q/p} + r^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1}\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i,$$

that is

$$2r^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1}\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i \leqslant 2^{-q}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} |x_i|^p\right)^{q/p}.$$

Actually, there exists $t \geqslant t_0(p,\, q)$ such that $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{r} |x_i|^p = t \cdot r$. By Hölder inequality, for enough large $t_0(p,\, q)$ we have

$$2r^{q/p} - qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1}\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i \leqslant 2r^{q/p} + qr^{\frac{q}{p}-1}r^{1-\frac{1}{p}}t^{1/p}r^{1/p} =$$

$$= r^{q/p}(2+qt^{1/p}) \leqslant 2^{-q}t^{q/p}r^{q/p}.$$

□

Lemma 2. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a_j)_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, $(b_j)_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_1,\, v_2) \in [2,\, +\infty)^2$, $v_1 \leqslant v_2$, *let* $\lambda(\mathbf{v})$ *be defined by formula similar to (7) and* $0 < \lambda \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{v})$. *Then*

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j^{v_1'\lambda} b_j^{v_1'(1-\lambda)}\right)^{1/v_1'} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j^2\right)^{\lambda/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j^{v_2'}\right)^{(1-\lambda)/v_2'}. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Let $s = \lambda/2$, $t = (1-\lambda)/v_2'$, $y_j = a_j^{\frac{2s}{s+t}}$, $z_j = b_j^{\frac{v_2' t}{s+t}}$. Then (23) is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{v_1'(s+t)} z_j^{v_1'(s+t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{v_1'(s+t)}} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{\frac{s+t}{s}}\right)^{\frac{s}{s+t}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_j^{\frac{s+t}{t}}\right)^{\frac{t}{s+t}}.$$

By the Hölder inequality, the right-hand side is minorized by $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} y_j z_j$. Therefore it is enough to show that $v_1'(s+t) \geqslant 1$. Indeed,

$$v_1'\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{1-\lambda}{v_2'}\right) \geqslant 1$$

is equivalent to the inequality $\lambda\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{v_2}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{v_1} - \frac{1}{v_2}$, which follows from conditions $v_2 \geqslant v_1 \geqslant 2$ and $\lambda \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{v})$. □

Theorem 2. *Let* $1 \leqslant p_1 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$, $1 \leqslant q_1 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$, $p_2 \geqslant 2$, $q_2 \geqslant 2$. *Then there exists* $a = a(p_2, q_2) > 0$ *such that for any* $m, k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ *satisfying the condition* $n \leqslant amk$ *the following estimate holds:*

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2}{\asymp} \Phi_0 = \Phi_0(m, k, n, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2),$$

where

$$\Phi_0 = \min\left\{1,\, n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2}\right\}, \text{ if } p_1 \leqslant 2,\ q_1 \leqslant 2,$$

$$\Phi_0 = \min\left\{1,\, (n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2})^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})},\, m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}}\left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/2}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}\right\}$$

if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$ *and* $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) < 1$,

$$\Phi_0 = \min\left\{1,\, (n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2})^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})},\, k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}\right\}$$

if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \geqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$ *and* $\lambda(\mathbf{q}) < 1$.

For convenience of the reader we give a list of special cases where the formula for Φ_0 can be simplified. If $n \leqslant m^{2/p_2}k^{2/q_2}$ then $\Phi_0 = 1$; if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $p_1 > 2$ and $m^{2/p_2}k^{2/q_2} \leqslant n \leqslant mk^{2/q_2}$ then $\Phi_0 = (n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2})^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}$; if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $p_1 > 2$ and $mk^{2/q_2} \leqslant n \leqslant mk$ then $\Phi_0 = m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}}\left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/2}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}$; if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \geqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $q_1 > 2$ and $m^{2/p_2}k^{2/q_2} \leqslant n \leqslant km^{2/p_2}$ then $\Phi_0 = (n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2})^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}$; if $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \geqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $q_1 > 2$ and $km^{2/p_2} \leqslant n \leqslant mk$ then $\Phi_0 = k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}$.

Proof. *Proof of the upper estimate.* Since $p_1 \leqslant p_2$, $q_1 \leqslant q_2$, we have

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \leqslant 1. \tag{24}$$

Let us prove other inequalities of the upper estimate.

Note that

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \leqslant d_n(B^{m,k}_{\max(p_1,2),\max(q_1,2)}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}).$$

Thus we may assume that $p_1 \geqslant 2$, $q_1 \geqslant 2$ and $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{1}{p_2}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2}}$, $\lambda(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{\frac{1}{q_1}-\frac{1}{q_2}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2}}$.

First consider the case $p_1 = q_1 = 2$. Let $s = \max\{p_2, q_2\}$. By Theorem A, we have

$$d_n(B^{mk}_2, l^{mk}_s) \underset{s}{\lesssim} n^{-1/2}(mk)^{1/s}.$$

This, together with the inequality

$$\|x\|_{l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}} \leqslant m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{s}}k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{s}}\|x\|_{l^{mk}_s},$$

implies the estimate

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{2,2}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_2,q_2}{\lesssim} n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2}. \tag{25}$$

By (8), if $\min(\lambda(\mathbf{p}),\, \lambda(\mathbf{q})) = 1$ then $p_1 = 2$, $q_1 = 2$ and the assertion follows from (24) and (25).

Let us consider the case $\min(\lambda(\mathbf{p}),\, \lambda(\mathbf{q})) < 1$. First we prove the inequalities

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2}{\lesssim} \left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}, \quad \lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q}), \quad \lambda(\mathbf{p}) < 1, \tag{26}$$

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2}{\lesssim} \left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}, \quad \lambda(\mathbf{p}) \geqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q}), \quad \lambda(\mathbf{q}) < 1. \tag{27}$$

Let $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) < 1$. We shall apply Lemma 1 for $\theta = 1 - \lambda(\mathbf{p})$, $X_0 = l^{m,k}_{2,2}$, $X_1 = l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}$, $X_\theta = l^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}$. If

$$\|x\|_{l^{m,k}_{p_1',q_1'}} \leqslant \|x\|^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}_{l^{m,k}_{2,2}} \|x\|^{1-\lambda(\mathbf{p})}_{l^{m,k}_{p_2',q_2'}}, \tag{28}$$

then (26) follows from (21) and (25).

We claim that (28) holds. Using Lemma 2 for $n := m$, $v_1 := p_1$, $v_2 := p_2$, $\lambda := \lambda(\mathbf{p})$, $a_i := b_i := x_{ij}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^{p_1'}\right)^{q_1'/p_1'}\right)^{1/q_1'} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^2\right)^{q_1'\lambda(\mathbf{p})/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^{p_2'}\right)^{q_1'(1-\lambda(\mathbf{p}))/p_2'}\right)^{1/q_1'}. \tag{29}$$

Now we denote

$$a_j := \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad b_j := \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^{p_2'}\right)^{1/p_2'}, \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k. \tag{30}$$

Taking into account Lemma 2 for $n := k$, $v_1 := q_1$, $v_2 := q_2$, $\lambda := \lambda(\mathbf{p})$, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j^{q_1'\lambda(\mathbf{p})} b_j^{q_1'(1-\lambda(\mathbf{p}))}\right)^{1/q_1'} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j^2\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k b_j^{q_2'}\right)^{(1-\lambda(\mathbf{p}))/q_2'}.$$

This, together with (29), implies (28).

Let us prove (27). By Lemma 1, it is enough to check the inequality

$$\|x\|_{l^{m,k}_{p_1',q_1'}} \leqslant \|x\|^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}_{l^{m,k}_{2,2}} \|x\|^{1-\lambda(\mathbf{q})}_{l^{m,k}_{p_2',q_2'}}. \tag{31}$$

Using Lemma 2 with $n := m$, $v_1 := p_1$, $v_2 := p_2$, $\lambda := \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $a_i := b_i := x_{ij}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, we obtain that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^{p_1'}\right)^{q_1'/p_1'}\right)^{1/q_1'} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^2\right)^{q_1'\lambda(\mathbf{q})/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_{ij}|^{p_2'}\right)^{q_1'(1-\lambda(\mathbf{q}))/p_2'}\right)^{1/q_1'}. \tag{32}$$

Now we define $(a_j)_{j=1}^k$, $(b_j)_{j=1}^k$ by the formula (30). Taking into account Lemma 2 for $n := k$, $v_1 := q_1$, $v_2 := q_2$, $\lambda := \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, we get

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j^{q_1'\lambda(\mathbf{q})} b_j^{q_1'(1-\lambda(\mathbf{q}))}\right)^{1/q_1'} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_j^2\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k b_j^{q_2'}\right)^{(1-\lambda(\mathbf{q}))/q_2'}.$$

This, together with (30) and (32), implies (31).

Let us prove inequalities

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}} k^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}} m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}}, \text{ if } \lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q}), \ \ p_1 > 2,$$

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}} m^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}} k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}}, \text{ if } \lambda(\mathbf{p}) \geqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q}), \ \ q_1 > 2. \qquad (33)$$

Let $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$. We choose $\sigma \in [2, p_2]$ such that

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{p_2}}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2}} = \lambda(\mathbf{q}).$$

Since $p_1 > 2$, it follows that $\sigma \leqslant p_1$ and

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \leqslant m^{\frac{1}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{p_1}} d_n(B^{m,k}_{\sigma,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{(25),(27)}{\underset{p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2}{\lesssim}}$$

$$\lesssim m^{\frac{1}{p_2}+\lambda(\mathbf{q})\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2}\right)-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(n^{-1/2} m^{1/p_2} k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})} = n^{-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}} k^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}} m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}}.$$

The proof of (33) is similar.

Proof of the lower estimate. We use the method from the article [34]. Let $r \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let S_m, S_k be groups of permutations of m and k elements respectively,

$$E_m = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \xi_i = \pm 1, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\},$$
$$E_k = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : \xi_i = \pm 1, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\}.$$

By G denote the set

$$\{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) : \sigma_1 \in S_m, \sigma_2 \in S_k, \varepsilon_1 \in E_m, \varepsilon_2 \in E_k\}.$$

For $x = (x_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k}$, $\gamma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in G$, $\varepsilon_1 = (\varepsilon_{1,1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{1,m})$, $\varepsilon_2 = (\varepsilon_{2,1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{2,k})$ we define $\gamma(x) = (\varepsilon_{1,i}\varepsilon_{2,j}x_{\sigma_1(i),\sigma_2(j)})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k}$.

Let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$,

$$e^{m,k,r,l}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r \text{ and } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant l, \\ 0, \text{ in other cases.} \end{cases}$$

Denote $e = (e^{m,k,r,l}_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k}$,

$$V^{m,k}_{r,l} = \mathrm{conv}\,\{\gamma(e) : \gamma \in G\}.$$

We claim that there exist $a = a(p_2,\, q_2) > 0$ and $b = b(p_2,\, q_2) > 0$ such that for $n \leqslant am^{2/p_2}k^{2/q_2}r^{1-2/p_2}l^{1-2/q_2}$ the following inequality holds:

$$d_n(V^{m,k}_{r,l},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \geqslant br^{1/p_2}l^{1/q_2}. \tag{34}$$

Here we may assume that $a(p_2,\, q_2)$ decreases in p_2 and q_2 and $b(p_2,\, q_2)$ is continuous.

Let $Y \subset l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}$ be a subspace of dimension not exceeding n. For any $\gamma \in G$ we denote by $y^\gamma = (y^\gamma_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k}$ the element of Y which is nearest to $\gamma(e)$. Let us get the lower estimate for $\max_{\gamma\in G} \|\gamma(e) - y^\gamma\|_{l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}}$.

We claim that there exists $\widetilde{c}_1(p_2,\, q_2) > 0$ (continuously depending on p_2 and q_2) such that

$$\begin{gathered} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|\gamma(e)_{i,j} - y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} \geqslant \frac{lr^{q_2/p_2}}{4} + \\ +\widetilde{c}_1(p_2,\, q_2)\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} - \tfrac{q_2}{2}r^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\sum_{i=1}^{m}\gamma(e)_{i,j}y^\gamma_{i,j}. \end{gathered} \tag{35}$$

Indeed, let

$$J^\gamma_1 = \{j \in \overline{1,\, k} : \forall i \in \overline{1,\, m} \quad \gamma(e)_{i,j} = 0\}, \quad J^\gamma_2 = \{1,\, \dots,\, k\}\backslash J^\gamma_1;$$

for $j \in J^\gamma_2$ we put

$$I^\gamma_1 = \{i \in \overline{1,\, m} : \gamma(e)_{i,j} = 0\}, \quad I^\gamma_2 = \{1,\, \dots,\, m\}\backslash I^\gamma_1.$$

Then Proposition 1 together with the equality $\mathrm{card}\, I^\gamma_2 = r$ and the inequality

$$(\xi + \eta)^\theta \geqslant \frac{\xi^\theta + \eta^\theta}{2}, \;\; \xi,\, \eta \in \mathbb{R}_+, \;\; \theta > 0, \tag{36}$$

imply

$$\begin{gathered} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|\gamma(e)_{i,j} - y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} = \sum_{j\in J^\gamma_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} + \\ + \sum_{j\in J^\gamma_2}\left(\sum_{i\in I^\gamma_1}|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2} + \sum_{i\in I^\gamma_2}|1 - \gamma(e)_{i,j}y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} \overset{(22),(36)}{\geqslant} \\ \geqslant \sum_{j\in J^\gamma_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in J^\gamma_2}\left(\sum_{i\in I^\gamma_1}|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{j\in J^\gamma_2}r^{q_2/p_2} + \end{gathered}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in J_2^\gamma} c_1(p_2,\, q_2)\left(\sum_{i\in I_2^\gamma}|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} - \frac{q_2}{2}r^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}-1}\sum_{j\in J_2^\gamma}\sum_{i\in I_2^\gamma}\gamma(e)_{i,j}y^\gamma_{i,j}.$$

It remains to apply the equality $\operatorname{card} J_2^\gamma = l$.

Averaging both sides of the inequality (35) over $\gamma \in G$, we get

$$\begin{gathered}\max\nolimits_{\gamma\in G}\|\gamma(e)-y^\gamma\|^{q_2}_{l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}} \geqslant |G|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\|\gamma(e)-y^\gamma\|^{q_2}_{l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}} \geqslant \tfrac{lr^{q_2/p_2}}{4}+ \\ +\widetilde{c}_1(p_2,\, q_2)|G|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\sum_{j=1}^k\left(\sum_{i=1}^m|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^{p_2}\right)^{q_2/p_2} - \tfrac{q_2}{2}|G|^{-1}r^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}-1}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m\gamma(e)_{i,j}y^\gamma_{i,j}.\end{gathered} \tag{37}$$

Let us obtain the upper estimate of module of the last summand. Consider the space $l_2(G) = \{\varphi : G \to \mathbb{R}\}$ with inner product

$$\langle\varphi,\, \psi\rangle = |G|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\varphi(\gamma)\psi(\gamma).$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$, $\gamma \in G$ we denote $\varphi_{ij}(\gamma) = \gamma(e)_{i,j}$, $z_{ij}(\gamma) = y^\gamma_{i,j}$, $L = \operatorname{span}\{z_{ij}\}_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m,\, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k} \subset l_2(G)$. Then $\dim L \leqslant n$. Indeed, consider the matrix $(z_s(\gamma))_{s\in S,\gamma\in G}$, where $S = \{s = (i,j) : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m,\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k\}$. Since $y^\gamma \in Y$, $\dim Y \leqslant n$, then the rank of this matrix does not exceed n; therefore, $\dim L \leqslant n$. Let P be the orthogonal projector onto L. Then its Hilbert – Schmidt norm does not exceed $n^{1/2}$.

We claim that the vectors φ_{ij} form an orthogonal system and $\|\varphi_{ij}\|^2_{l_2(G)} = \frac{rl}{mk}$. Indeed, let $(i,\, j) \neq (i',\, j')$. Check that $\sum\limits_{\gamma\in G}\varphi_{ij}(\gamma)\varphi_{i'j'}(\gamma) = 0$. Suppose that $i \neq i'$ (the case $j \neq j'$ is considered similarly). Let $\gamma = (\sigma_1,\, \sigma_2,\, \varepsilon_1,\, \varepsilon_2)$ and $\varepsilon_{1,i'} = 1$. We have $\varphi_{ij}(\gamma)\varphi_{i'j'}(\gamma) = \varepsilon_{1,i}\varepsilon_{2,j}\varepsilon_{1,i'}\varepsilon_{2,j'}e^{m,k,r,l}_{\sigma_1(i),\sigma_2(j)}e^{m,k,r,l}_{\sigma_1(i'),\sigma_2(j')}$. Put $\widetilde{\gamma} = (\sigma_1,\, \sigma_2,\, \widetilde{\varepsilon}_1,\, \varepsilon_2)$; here $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{1,s} = \varepsilon_{1,s}$ if $s \neq i'$, $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{1,i'} = -1$. Since $i \neq i'$, then $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{1,i}\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{1,i'} = -\varepsilon_{1,i}\varepsilon_{1,i'}$. Thus we have the orthogonality of the system (φ_{ij}). Let us show that $|G|^{-1}\sum\limits_{\gamma\in G}\varphi^2_{ij}(\gamma) = \frac{rl}{mk}$. Indeed, $|G| = 2^m\cdot 2^k m!k!$,

$$\operatorname{card}\{\gamma \in G : \varphi_{ij}(\gamma) = \pm 1\} =$$

$= 2^m\cdot 2^k\operatorname{card}\{\sigma_1 \in S_m : \sigma_1(i) \leqslant r\}\cdot\operatorname{card}\{\sigma_2 \in S_k : \sigma_2(j) \leqslant l\} = 2^m\cdot 2^k r(m-1)!l(k-1)!.$

We have

$$\left||G|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m y^\gamma_{i,j}\gamma(e)_{i,j}\right| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m\langle\varphi_{ij},\, z_{ij}\rangle\right| =$$

$$= \left|\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m\langle P\varphi_{ij},\, z_{ij}\rangle\right| \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m\|P\varphi_{ij}\|^2_{l_2(G)}\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m\|z_{ij}\|^2_{l_2(G)}\right)^{1/2} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant n^{1/2}\left(\frac{rl}{mk}\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m\|z_{ij}\|^2_{l_2(G)}\right)^{1/2} = n^{1/2}\left(\frac{rl}{mk}\right)^{1/2}\left(|G|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in G}\sum_{j=1}^k\sum_{i=1}^m|y^\gamma_{i,j}|^2\right)^{1/2} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant (nrl)^{1/2} m^{-1/p_2} k^{-1/q_2} \left(|G|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |y^{\gamma}_{i,j}|^{p_2} \right)^{q_2/p_2} \right)^{1/q_2} =: M.$$

Let $n = \widetilde{a} m^{2/p_2} k^{2/q_2} r^{1-2/p_2} l^{1-2/q_2}$. Applying the inequality $\xi\eta \leqslant |\xi|^{q_2'} + |\eta|^{q_2}$ for $\xi = r^{\frac{q_2-1}{p_2}} l^{\frac{q_2-1}{q_2}}$ and

$$\eta = \left(|G|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |y^{\gamma}_{i,j}|^{p_2} \right)^{q_2/p_2} \right)^{1/q_2},$$

we get that

$$M = \widetilde{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{1-\frac{1}{p_2}} l^{1-\frac{1}{q_2}} \left(|G|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |y^{\gamma}_{i,j}|^{p_2} \right)^{q_2/p_2} \right)^{1/q_2} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \widetilde{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{1-\frac{q_2}{p_2}} \left(r^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}} l + |G|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |y^{\gamma}_{i,j}|^{p_2} \right)^{q_2/p_2} \right).$$

Combining this estimate and (37), we have

$$\max_{\gamma \in G} \|\gamma(e) - y^{\gamma}\|^{q_2}_{l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}} \geqslant \frac{l r^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}}}{4} + \widetilde{c}_1(p_2,\, q_2) |G|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |y^{\gamma}_{i,j}|^{p_2} \right)^{q_2/p_2} -$$

$$-\frac{q_2}{2} \widetilde{a}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(r^{\frac{q_2}{p_2}} l + |G|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in G} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |y^{\gamma}_{i,j}|^{p_2} \right)^{q_2/p_2} \right).$$

Taking $\widetilde{a}$ enough small, we get (34). It remains to put $a(p_2,\, q_2) = \min_{2 \leqslant p \leqslant p_2,\, 2 \leqslant q \leqslant q_2} \widetilde{a}(p,\, q)$.

Let us estimate Kolmogorov widths of balls $B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}$. Since $B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1} \supset r^{-1/p_1} l^{-1/q_1} V^{m,k}_{r,l}$, it follows that

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim} r^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}} l^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}} \tag{38}$$

for $n \leqslant a m^{2/p_2} k^{2/q_2} r^{1-2/p_2} l^{1-2/q_2}$, $a = a(p_2,\, q_2)$.

1. $n \leqslant a m^{2/p_2} k^{2/q_2}$. Taking $r = l = 1$, we have

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim} 1.$$

2. $a m^{2/p_2} k^{2/q_2} \leqslant n \leqslant amk$. First choose $\widetilde{p} \in [2,\, p_2]$ and $\widetilde{q} \in [2,\, q_2]$ such that $n = a(p_2,\, q_2) m^{2/\widetilde{p}} k^{2/\widetilde{q}} \leqslant a(\widetilde{p},\, \widetilde{q}) m^{2/\widetilde{p}} k^{2/\widetilde{q}}$. Then

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \geqslant d_n(V^{m,k}_{1,1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \geqslant m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}}} k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}} d_n(V^{m,k}_{1,1},\, l^{m,k}_{\widetilde{p},\widetilde{q}}) \underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim} n^{-1/2} m^{1/p_2} k^{1/q_2}$$

(in the last order inequality we used that $\widetilde{p} \in [2, p_2]$, $\widetilde{q} \in [2, q_2]$ and $b(\widetilde{p}, \widetilde{q})$ is continuous).

This estimate can be improved for $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) < 1$ or $\lambda(\mathbf{q}) < 1$. Consider the following cases.

(a) $n \leqslant akm^{2/p_2}$, $q_1 > 2$. Let $r = 1$,

$$l = \left\lceil \left(a^{-1}nm^{-2/p_2}k^{-2/q_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/q_2}} \right\rceil .$$

Then $1 \leqslant \left(a^{-1}nm^{-2/p_2}k^{-2/q_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/q_2}} \leqslant k$, $1 \leqslant l \leqslant k$, and

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{(38)}{\underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim}} \left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})} . \tag{39}$$

(b) $n \leqslant amk^{2/q_2}$, $p_1 > 2$. Let $l = 1$,

$$r = \left\lceil \left(a^{-1}nm^{-2/p_2}k^{-2/q_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/p_2}} \right\rceil .$$

Then $1 \leqslant \left(a^{-1}nm^{-2/p_2}k^{-2/q_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/p_2}} \leqslant m$, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant m$, and

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{(38)}{\underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim}} \left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})} . \tag{40}$$

(c) $amk \geqslant n > akm^{2/p_2}$. Let $p_1 > 2$. Take $l = k$,

$$r = \left\lceil \left(a^{-1}nk^{-1}m^{-2/p_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/p_2}} \right\rceil .$$

Then $1 \leqslant \left(a^{-1}nk^{-1}m^{-2/p_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/p_2}} \leqslant m$, $1 \leqslant r \leqslant m$, and we get

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{(38)}{\underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim}} k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}} \left(n^{-1/2}k^{1/2}m^{1/p_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})} .$$

Let $p_1 \leqslant 2$ and $q_1 > 2$. Choose $\widetilde{p} \in [2, p_2]$ such that $n = a(p_2, q_2)km^{\frac{2}{\widetilde{p}}} \leqslant a(\widetilde{p}, q_2)km^{\frac{2}{\widetilde{p}}}$. Then

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \geqslant m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}}} d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{\widetilde{p},q_2}) \overset{(39)}{\underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim}} m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}}} \left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/\widetilde{p}}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})} \underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim}$$

$$\gtrsim m^{\frac{1}{p_2}} \left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}k^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})-1} n^{-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}} k^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}} = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{p_2}} k^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}} .$$

(d) $amk \geqslant n > amk^{2/q_2}$. Let $q_1 > 2$. Take $r = m$,

$$l = \left\lceil \left(a^{-1}nm^{-1}k^{-2/q_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/q_2}} \right\rceil .$$

Then $1 \leqslant \left(a^{-1}nm^{-1}k^{-2/q_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-2/q_2}} \leqslant k$, $1 \leqslant l \leqslant k$, and we have

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_2,q_2}{\overset{(38)}{\gtrsim}} m^{\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}} \left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/2}k^{1/q_2}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}.$$

Let $q_1 \leqslant 2$ and $p_1 > 2$. Choose $\widetilde{q} \in [2,\, q_2]$ such that $n = a(p_2,\, q_2)mk^{\frac{2}{\widetilde{q}}} \leqslant a(p_2,\, \widetilde{q})mk^{\frac{2}{\widetilde{q}}}$. Then

$$d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \geqslant k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}} d_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,\widetilde{q}}) \underset{p_2,q_2}{\overset{(40)}{\gtrsim}} k^{\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{q}}} \left(n^{-1/2}m^{1/p_2}k^{1/\widetilde{q}}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})} \underset{p_2,q_2}{\gtrsim}$$

$$\gtrsim k^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}m^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\lambda(\mathbf{p})-1} n^{-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}} m^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}} = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} k^{\frac{1}{q_2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{p_1}}.$$

This ends the proof. □

4 Estimates for linear widths of finite-dimensional balls in a mixed norm

In this paragraph upper estimates for linear widths $\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2})$ are established. In order to do this we generalize arguments of the paper [35]. Let us give some notations and formulate auxiliary assertions from this article.

Let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $r > 2$, $\lambda \geqslant 1$, $\mu_r(\lambda) = \left[\lambda^{\frac{1}{1/2-1/r}}\right]$. For any vector $x = (x_1,\, \dots,\, x_\nu) \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ we denote by $\operatorname{supp} x = \{j \in \overline{1,\, \nu} : x_j \neq 0\}$, $(x_1^*,\, \dots,\, x_\nu^*)$ the non-increasing rearrangement of $|x_j|$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant \nu$. The constructions in section 4 and the proof of Lemma 4 of the paper [35] yield the following assertions.

Lemma 3. *There exist a set* $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{r,\lambda,\nu} \subset B_2^\nu$ *and a number* $c(r) > 0$ *such that* $\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E}$ *and*

1. *for any* $y \in \mathcal{E}$ *we have* $|\operatorname{supp} y| \leqslant [\lambda^2\nu^{2/r}] + 1$*;*
2. $|\mathcal{E}| \leqslant \exp\left(c(r)\lambda^2\nu^{2/r}\right)$*;*
3. *for any* $x \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ *there exists a vector* $y \in \mathcal{E}$ *such that*

$$\sup_{\mu_r(\lambda) < j \leqslant \nu} \lambda j^{1/r} x_j^* \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} x_i y_i.$$

Lemma 4. *There exist a set* $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}'_{r,\lambda,\nu} \subset B_2^\nu$ *and a number* $c(r) > 0$ *such that* $\mathcal{E}' = -\mathcal{E}'$ *and*

1. for any $y \in \mathcal{E}'$ we have $|\operatorname{supp} y| \leqslant \mu_r(\lambda)$;

2. $|\mathcal{E}'| \leqslant \exp\left(c(r)\lambda^2\nu^{2/r}\right)$;

3. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^\nu$ there exists a vector $y \in \mathcal{E}'$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant \mu_r(\lambda)} |x_j^*|^2\right)^{1/2} \leqslant 2\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} x_j y_j.$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $\mathcal{F}_0(N)$ the family of absolute convex polyhedrons which are contained in B_2^ν and have not more than $2N$ vertices. We say that an absolute convex body belongs to $\mathcal{F}(N)$, if there exists a set $K \in \mathcal{F}_0(N)$ such that $V \subset K$. Let W be a bounded closed absolute convex body. Denote $\mathbb{R}^\nu_W$ the linear space $\mathbb{R}^\nu$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_W$ such that $W = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^\nu : \|x\|_W \leqslant 1\}$. By $(\mathbb{R}^\nu_W)^*$ denote the dual space. The following lemma is proved in [35].

Lemma 5. *There exists an absolute constant $c_0 > 0$ such that for any $\theta > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N < \frac{1}{16}\exp\left(\frac{\theta^2\nu}{4}\right)$, for any sets V, $W \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ and for any $n \in \{0, \ldots, \nu\}$ we have*

$$\lambda_n(V, (\mathbb{R}^\nu_W)^*) \leqslant c_0\left(\theta\sqrt{\frac{\nu-n}{n}} + \theta^2\frac{\nu}{n}\right).$$

The following duality relation for linear widths was proved in [18]. Let B, $C \subset \mathbb{R}^\nu$ be absolute convex closed bounded bodies, let B°, C° be their polars. Then

$$\lambda_n(B, \mathbb{R}^\nu_C) = \lambda_n(C^\circ, \mathbb{R}^\nu_{B^\circ}). \tag{41}$$

Now we formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 3. *Let m, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$, $1 < q_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2}{\lesssim} \min\left\{n^{-\frac12} m^{\max\left\{\frac{1}{p_2}, \frac{1}{p_1'}\right\}} k^{\max\left\{\frac{1}{q_2}, \frac{1}{q_1'}\right\}}, 1\right\}.$$

Let a be defined in Theorem 2, $n \leqslant amk$. If $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \geqslant 1$ and $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \geqslant 1$, then

$$\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2}{\gtrsim} n^{-\frac12} m^{\frac{1}{p_2}} k^{\frac{1}{q_2}}; \tag{42}$$

if $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \leqslant 1$ and $\frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2} \leqslant 1$, then

$$\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1}, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2}{\gtrsim} n^{-\frac12} m^{\frac{1}{p_1'}} k^{\frac{1}{q_1'}}. \tag{43}$$

Proof. The estimate (42) follows from Theorem 2 and the inequality $\lambda_n(M,\, X) \geqslant d_n(M,\, X)$. The relation (43) follows from (42) and (41).

Since $p_1 \leqslant p_2$ and $q_1 \leqslant q_2$, we have $\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \leqslant 1$. Let us prove that

$$\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \underset{p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\max\left\{\frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{1}{p_1'}\right\}} k^{\max\left\{\frac{1}{q_2},\, \frac{1}{q_1'}\right\}}. \tag{44}$$

Let $2 \leqslant p < \infty$, $2 \leqslant q < \infty$ and $n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{p}} k^{\frac{1}{q}} < 1$. We show that the following estimate holds:

$$\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p',q'},\, l^{m,k}_{p,q}) \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{p}} k^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{45}$$

(then (44) easily follows from(45)). In order to do this we find constants $c_1(p,\, q) > 0$ and $c_2(p,\, q) > 0$ such that

$$c_1(p,\, q) B^{m,k}_{p',q'} \in \mathcal{F}(N), \tag{46}$$

where $N < \frac{1}{16} \exp\left(c_2(p,\, q) m^{\frac{2}{p}} k^{\frac{2}{q}}\right)$, and apply Lemma 5 for $\theta = 2\sqrt{c_2(p,\, q)}\, m^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}} k^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $V = W = c_1(p,\, q) B^{m,k}_{p',q'}$. Then $\theta^2 \frac{mk}{n} = 4c_2(p,\, q) m^{\frac{2}{p}} k^{\frac{2}{q}} n^{-1} \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} 1$ and

$$\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p',q'},\, l^{m,k}_{p,q}) \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} \theta \sqrt{\frac{mk}{n}} + \theta^2 \frac{mk}{n} \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{p}} k^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Let us prove (46). For any $x = (x_1,\, \dots,\, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $1 \leqslant l \leqslant k$ we denote $I_l(x) = (x_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m,\, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k}$, where $x_{i,j} = 0$ if $j \neq l$, $x_{i,l} = x_i$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$. Let

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,1} = \left\{ \sum_{j\in \operatorname{supp} y} y_j I_j(x_j),\ y = (y_1,\, \dots,\, y_k) \in \mathcal{E}'_{2q,k^{\frac{1}{2q}},k},\ \ x_j \in \mathcal{E}'_{2p,m^{\frac{1}{2p}},m},\ j \in \operatorname{supp} y \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,2} = \left\{ \sum_{j\in \operatorname{supp} y} y_j I_j(x_j),\ y = (y_1,\, \dots,\, y_k) \in \mathcal{E}'_{2q,k^{\frac{1}{2q}},k},\ \ x_j \in \mathcal{E}_{2p,m^{\frac{1}{2p}},m},\ j \in \operatorname{supp} y \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{2,1} = \left\{ \sum_{j\in \operatorname{supp} y} y_j I_j(x_j),\ y = (y_1,\, \dots,\, y_k) \in \mathcal{E}_{2q,k^{\frac{1}{2q}},k},\ \ x_j \in \mathcal{E}'_{2p,m^{\frac{1}{2p}},m},\ j \in \operatorname{supp} y \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{2,2} = \left\{ \sum_{j\in \operatorname{supp} y} y_j I_j(x_j),\ y = (y_1,\, \dots,\, y_k) \in \mathcal{E}_{2q,k^{\frac{1}{2q}},k},\ \ x_j \in \mathcal{E}_{2p,m^{\frac{1}{2p}},m},\ j \in \operatorname{supp} y \right\},$$

$$K = \operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1,1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{1,2} \cup \mathcal{E}_{2,1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{2,2}\right).$$

Then K is an absolute convex polyhedron. Lemmas 3 and 4 yield that

$$\max\left\{\operatorname{card} \mathcal{E}_{1,1},\, \operatorname{card} \mathcal{E}_{1,2}\right\} \leqslant \exp\left(c(2q) k^{2/q}\right) \left(\exp\left(c(2p) m^{2/p}\right)\right)^{\mu_{2q}\left(k^{1/2q}\right)} =$$

$$= \exp\left(c(2q)k^{2/q} + c(2p)m^{2/p}\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})\right),$$

$$\max\{\operatorname{card}\mathcal{E}_{2,1},\, \operatorname{card}\mathcal{E}_{2,2}\} \leqslant \exp\left(c(2q)k^{2/q}\right)\left(\exp\left(c(2p)m^{2/p}\right)\right)^{[k^{2/q}]+1} =$$
$$= \exp\left(c(2q)k^{2/q} + c(2p)m^{2/p}(1+[k^{2/q}])\right);$$

since $\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q}) \leqslant k^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \leqslant k^{2/q}$ (the last inequality follows from the relation $q \geqslant 2$), then there exists a constant $c_3(p,\, q)$ such that

$$\max\{\operatorname{card}\mathcal{E}_{1,1},\, \operatorname{card}\mathcal{E}_{1,2},\, \operatorname{card}\mathcal{E}_{2,1},\, \operatorname{card}\mathcal{E}_{2,2}\} \leqslant \exp\left(c_3(p,\, q)m^{2/p}k^{2/q}\right).$$

Therefore, there exists $c_2(p,\, q) > 0$ such that $K \in \mathcal{F}_0(N)$, where $N < \frac{1}{16}\exp\left(c_2(p,\, q)m^{\frac{2}{p}}k^{\frac{2}{q}}\right)$.

Let us show that there exists $c_1(p,\, q) > 0$ such that $c_1(p,\, q)B^{m,k}_{p',q'} \subset K$. It is enough to check the inequality

$$\max\{\langle x,\, y\rangle :\; y \in B^{m,k}_{p',q'}\} \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} \max\{\langle x,\, y\rangle :\; y \in K\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{mk},$$

that is

$$\|x\|_{l^{m,k}_{p,q}} \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} \max\{\langle x,\, y\rangle :\; y \in K\}. \tag{47}$$

Let $x = (x_{i,j})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m,\, 1\leqslant j\leqslant k} \in \mathbb{R}^{mk}$. Denote $a_j = \left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m}|x_{i,j}|^p\right)^{1/p}$, $(x^*_{1,j},\, \dots,\, x^*_{m,j})$ the non-increasing rearrangement of $|x_{i,j}|$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, and $(a^*_1,\, \dots,\, a^*_k)$ the non-increasing rearrangement of $|a_j|$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$.

We claim that

$$\|x\|_{l^{m,k}_{p,q}} \underset{q}{\lesssim} \left(\sum_{j\leqslant \mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})}|a^*_j|^2\right)^{1/2} + \max_{j>\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})} k^{1/2q}j^{1/2q}a^*_j. \tag{48}$$

Indeed, there exists an absolute constant $c_4 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})<j\leqslant k} k^{-\frac{1}{2}}j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant c_4.$$

Therefore,

$$\|x\|_{l^{m,k}_{p,q}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}|a^*_j|^q\right)^{1/q} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})}|a^*_j|^2\right)^{1/2} +$$
$$+\left(\sum_{j=\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})+1}^{k}\left(k^{1/2q}j^{1/2q}a^*_j\right)^q k^{-\frac{1}{2}}j^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{1/q} \underset{q}{\lesssim}$$

$$\lesssim \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})} |a_j^*|^2\right)^{1/2} + \max_{j>\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})} k^{1/2q} j^{1/2q} a_j^*.$$

By Lemmas 3 and 4, there exist $y^{(1)} = (y_j^{(1)})_{1\leqslant j\leqslant k} \in \mathcal{E}'_{2q,k^{1/2q},k}$ and $y^{(2)} = (y_j^{(2)})_{1\leqslant j\leqslant k} \in \mathcal{E}_{2q,k^{1/2q},k}$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})} |a_j^*|^2\right)^{1/2} \leqslant 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} y_j^{(1)} a_j = 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} y_j^{(1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |x_{i,j}^*|^p\right)^{1/p}, \tag{49}$$

$$\max_{j>\mu_{2q}(k^{1/2q})} k^{1/2q} j^{1/2q} a_j^* \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_j^{(2)} a_j = \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_j^{(2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |x_{i,j}^*|^p\right)^{1/p}. \tag{50}$$

In the same way as (48) we can prove that for any $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |x_{i,j}^*|^p\right)^{1/p} \underset{p}{\lesssim} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_{2p}(m^{1/2p})} |x_{i,j}^*|^2\right)^{1/2} + \max_{i>\mu_{2p}(m^{1/2p})} m^{1/2p} i^{1/2p} |x_{i,j}^*|.$$

Therefore, there exist $z_j^{(1)} = (z_{i,j}^{(1)})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m} \in \mathcal{E}'_{2p,m^{1/2p},m}$ and $z_j^{(2)} = (z_{i,j}^{(2)})_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m} \in \mathcal{E}_{2p,m^{1/2p},m}$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_{2p}(m^{1/2p})} |x_{i,j}^*|^2\right)^{1/2} \leqslant 2\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i,j}^{(1)} x_{i,j}, \tag{51}$$

$$\max_{i>\mu_{2p}(m^{1/2p})} m^{1/2p} i^{1/2p} |x_{i,j}^*| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i,j}^{(2)} x_{i,j}. \tag{52}$$

Combining (48), (49), (50), (51), (52) and the inequality $|\xi_1|+|\xi_2| \leqslant 2\max\{|\xi_1|, |\xi_2|\}$, we obtain that for any x there exist $s \in \{1, 2\}$ and $t \in \{1, 2\}$ such that

$$\|x\|_{l_{p,q}^{m,k}} \underset{p,q}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=1}^{k} y_j^{(s)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (I_j(z_j^{(t)}))_i x_{i,j} = \langle b, x\rangle,$$

where $b \in \mathcal{E}_{s,t}$. This completes the proof of (47). □

5 Bounds for widths of weighted Besov classes

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty)$, $N_n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_1^n, \dots, \varphi_m^n : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ be affine functions,

$$\varphi_s^n(\xi) = \alpha_s \xi + \beta_{s,n}, \quad s = 1, \dots, m, \quad \varphi_{m+1}^n(\xi) = +\infty, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$\varphi_1^n(\xi) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \varphi_m^n(\xi), \quad 0 \leqslant \xi \leqslant N_n, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty).$$

Denote

$$E_s^n = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varphi_s^n(\xi) \leqslant \eta < \varphi_{s+1}^n(\xi), \ 0 \leqslant \xi \leqslant N_n\}, \ \ 1 \leqslant s \leqslant m,$$

$$E^n = \bigcup_{s=1}^m E_s^n = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \varphi_1^n(\xi) \leqslant \eta < +\infty, \ 0 \leqslant \xi \leqslant N_n\}.$$

Let $\psi_n : E^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{\psi}_n : E^n \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\psi_n(\xi, \eta) = \lambda_s \xi + \mu_s \eta + \nu_{s,n}, \ \ (\xi, \eta) \in E_s^n,$$

$$\widetilde{\psi}_n(\xi, \eta) = \widetilde{\lambda}_s \xi + \widetilde{\mu}_s \eta + \widetilde{\nu}_{s,n}, \ \ (\xi, \eta) \in E_s^n.$$

In addition, we suppose that functions ψ_n are continuous.

Denote

$$\Lambda = \{(\alpha_s)_{1\leqslant s\leqslant m}, \ (\lambda_s)_{1\leqslant s\leqslant m}, \ (\mu_s)_{1\leqslant s\leqslant m}\},$$

$$\widetilde{\Lambda} = \left\{(\alpha_s)_{1\leqslant s\leqslant m}, \ (\widetilde{\lambda}_s)_{1\leqslant s\leqslant m}, \ (\widetilde{\mu}_s)_{1\leqslant s\leqslant m}\right\},$$

$\Psi = \{\psi_n, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty)\}$, $\widetilde{\Psi} = \{\widetilde{\psi}_n, \ n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty)\}$,

$$V_n = \{(0, \varphi_s^n(0)), \ (N_n, \varphi_s^n(N_n)) : 1 \leqslant s \leqslant m\}.$$

Let either $j_*^n = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty)$ or $j_*^n = N_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty)$, and let $S = \{s_-, s_- + 1, \ldots, s_+ - 1, s_+\} \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}$ (this set can be empty in general), $l_*^n \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\{s \in \overline{1, m} : \varphi_s^n(j_*^n) = l_*^n\} = S, \tag{53}$$

$J = \{(j_*^n, l_*^n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty)\}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. We say that $\widetilde{\Psi} \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon, J}(\Psi)$ if

$$|\lambda_s - \widetilde{\lambda}_s| < \varepsilon, \ \ |\mu_s - \widetilde{\mu}_s| < \varepsilon, \ \ 1 \leqslant s \leqslant m, \tag{54}$$

$$|\psi_n(j, l) - \widetilde{\psi}_n(j, l)| < \varepsilon \log_2 n, \ \ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_n, \ \ \varphi_1^n(j) \leqslant l \leqslant \varphi_m^n(j), \tag{55}$$

$$\psi_n(j_*^n, l_*^n) = \widetilde{\psi}_n(j_*^n, l_*^n) = \widetilde{\psi}_n(j_*^n, l_*^n - 0) \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty). \tag{56}$$

Lemma 6. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $c > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ be fixed, let $\mu_m < 0$,*

$$\psi_n(j_*^n, l_*^n) = 0, \ \ n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, +\infty), \tag{57}$$

and let $\widetilde{N}_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$N_n \leqslant c \log_2 n, \ \ -c \log_2 n \leqslant \varphi_1^n(\xi) \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \varphi_m^n(\xi) \leqslant c \log_2 n, \ \ \xi \in [0, N_n], \tag{58}$$

$$\psi_n(j,\,l) \leqslant -\gamma\log_2 n, \quad (j,\,l)\in V_n\backslash(j^n_*,\,l^n_*) \tag{59}$$

for any $n\in\mathbb{N}\cap[2,\,+\infty)$. *Let* $\rho:[1,\,+\infty)\to(0,\,+\infty)$ *be an absolute continuous function that satisfies (6).*

Then there exists $\varepsilon_0=\varepsilon_0(\Lambda,\,c,\,\gamma)>0$ *such that for any* $\widetilde{\Psi}\in\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_0,J}(\Psi)$ *the following estimate holds:*

$$\sum_{(j,\,l)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n} 2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\,l)}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \rho(2^{j^n_*+\widetilde{N}_n}).$$

Proof. First we prove that for any $\sigma>0$ there exists $M(\sigma)>0$ such that for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $j=0,\,\dots,\,N_n$ the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n})}\leqslant M(\sigma)2^{\sigma j}, \quad \frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})}\leqslant M(\sigma)2^{\sigma(N_n-j)}. \tag{60}$$

Indeed, there exists $\xi_\sigma\geqslant 1$ such that the function $y^\sigma\rho(y)$ increases on $[\xi_\sigma,\,+\infty)$ and the function $y^{-\sigma}\rho(y)$ decreases on $[\xi_\sigma,\,+\infty)$. Since the function ρ is continuous and positive, then there exists $M_1(\sigma)>0$ such that $\frac{\rho(y_1)}{\rho(y_2)}\leqslant M_1(\sigma)$ for any $y_1,\,y_2\in[1,\,\xi_\sigma]$.

Let $2^{\widetilde{N}_n}\geqslant\xi_\sigma$. Then

$$\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n})}=\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})2^{-\sigma(j+\widetilde{N}_n)}}{\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n})2^{-\sigma\widetilde{N}_n}}\cdot 2^{\sigma j}\leqslant 2^{\sigma j}.$$

Let $2^{\widetilde{N}_n}<\xi_\sigma$. If $2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}\leqslant\xi_\sigma$, then $\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n})}\leqslant M_1(\sigma)$. If $2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}>\xi_\sigma$, then

$$\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n})}\leqslant M_1(\sigma)\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(\xi_\sigma)}=$$

$$=M_1(\sigma)\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})2^{-\sigma(j+\widetilde{N}_n)}}{\rho(\xi_\sigma)\xi_\sigma^{-\sigma}}\cdot 2^{\sigma j}\xi_\sigma^{-\sigma}\cdot 2^{\sigma\widetilde{N}_n}\leqslant M_1(\sigma)2^{\sigma j}.$$

If $2^{\widetilde{N}_n+j}\geqslant\xi_\sigma$, then

$$\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})}=\frac{2^{\sigma(j+\widetilde{N}_n)}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{2^{\sigma(N_n+\widetilde{N}_n)}\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})}\cdot 2^{\sigma(N_n-j)}\leqslant 2^{\sigma(N_n-j)}.$$

Let $2^{\widetilde{N}_n+j}<\xi_\sigma$. If in addition $2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n}\leqslant\xi_\sigma$, then $\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})}\leqslant M_1(\sigma)$. If $2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n}>\xi_\sigma$, then

$$\frac{\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})}{\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})}\leqslant M_1(\sigma)\frac{\rho(\xi_\sigma)}{\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})}=M_1(\sigma)\frac{\xi_\sigma^\sigma\rho(\xi_\sigma)}{2^{\sigma(N_n+\widetilde{N}_n)}\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})}\cdot 2^{\sigma(N_n+\widetilde{N}_n)}\xi_\sigma^{-\sigma}\leqslant$$

$$\leqslant M_1(\sigma)\cdot 2^{\sigma(N_n+\widetilde{N}_n)}\cdot 2^{-\sigma(\widetilde{N}_n+j)}=M_1(\sigma)\cdot 2^{\sigma(N_n-j)}.$$

This completes the proof of (60).

Let $s_- - 1 \leqslant s \leqslant s_+$, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant N_n$, $\varphi^n_s(j) \leqslant l < \varphi^n_{s+1}(j)$. Denote

$$t(s) = \begin{cases} s, \text{ if } \widetilde{\mu}_s \leqslant 0 \text{ and } s_- \leqslant s < s_+, \\ s+1, \text{ if } \widetilde{\mu}_s > 0 \text{ and } s_- \leqslant s < s_+, \\ s_+, \text{ if } s = s_+, \\ s_-, \text{ if } s = s_- - 1, \end{cases}$$

$$A = \max\{|\alpha_s| : s_- \leqslant s \leqslant s_+\},$$

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min\left\{\frac{|\mu_m|}{2}, \frac{\gamma}{4(c+1)}, \frac{|\mu_s|}{2}, \frac{|\lambda_s + \mu_s\alpha_{t(s)}|}{8(A+1)}, s_- - 1 \leqslant s \leqslant s_+\right\}. \tag{61}$$

Let us obtain an upper estimate for the sum

$$\Sigma^n_s = \sum_{(j,\,l)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n_s} 2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\,l)}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}), \;\; 1 \leqslant s \leqslant m.$$

Let $S \neq \varnothing$. Since (57) holds and functions ψ_n are continuous, we have

$$\psi_n(j,\, \varphi^n_{t(s)}(j)) = (\lambda_s + \mu_s\alpha_{t(s)})(j - j^n_*), \quad s \in S \cup (\{s_- - 1\} \cap \mathbb{N}).$$

This together with (59) yield that

$$\lambda_s + \mu_s\alpha_{t(s)} < 0, \text{ if } j^n_* = 0, \quad \lambda_s + \mu_s\alpha_{t(s)} > 0, \text{ if } j^n_* = N_n. \tag{62}$$

Therefore, $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.

First we consider cases $s = s_+$ and $s = s_- - 1$. It follows from (57), from the condition $\mu_m < 0$ and from (59) that $\mu_{s_- - 1} > 0$ (if $s_- \geqslant 2$), $\mu_{s_+} < 0$. Then for any $(j,\, l) \in E^n_{s_+}$ we have

$$\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, l) = \widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}l + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n} = \widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n} + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}(l - \varphi^n_{s_+}(j)) \overset{(61)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant \widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n} + \mu_{s_+}(l - \varphi^n_{s_+}(j)) + \frac{|\mu_{s_+}|}{2}(l - \varphi^n_{s_+}(j)) =$$

$$= \widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n} - \frac{|\mu_{s_+}|}{2}(l - \varphi^n_{s_+}(j)).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{(j,\,l)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n_{s_+}} 2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\,l)}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}) \underset{\mu_{s_+}}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j+\widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n}}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}).$$

Similarly, we obtain that for $s_- \geqslant 2$

$$\sum_{(j,\,l)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n_{s_- - 1}} 2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\,l)}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}) \underset{\mu_{s_- - 1}}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_- - 1}j+\widetilde{\mu}_{s_- - 1}\varphi^n_{s_-}(j)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s_- - 1,n}}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}).$$

Since the functions $\widetilde{\psi}_n|_{E^n_{s_+}}$, $\widetilde{\psi}_n|_{E^n_{s_--1}}$ and $\varphi^n_{s_\pm}$ are affine, then conditions of Lemma yield

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n} = \widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_{s_+}(j)) \overset{(53),(56)}{=}$$

$$= \widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_{s_+}(j)) - \widetilde{\psi}_n(j^n_*,\, \varphi^n_{s_+}(j^n_*)) + \psi_n(j^n_*,\, l^n_*) \overset{(57)}{=}$$

$$= \widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_{s_+}(j)) - \widetilde{\psi}_n(j^n_*,\, \varphi^n_{s_+}(j^n_*)) = (\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+} + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\alpha_{s_+})(j - j^n_*) \overset{(54)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant (\lambda_{s_+} + \mu_{s_+}\alpha_{s_+})(j - j^n_*) + \varepsilon_0(1+A)|j - j^n_*|,$$

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_--1}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_--1}\varphi^n_{s_-}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_--1,n} = \widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_{s_-}(j) - 0) \overset{(53),(56)}{=}$$

$$= \widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_{s_-}(j) - 0) - \widetilde{\psi}_n(j^n_*,\, \varphi^n_{s_-}(j^n_*) - 0) + \psi_n(j^n_*,\, l^n_*) \overset{(57)}{=}$$

$$= \widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_{s_-}(j) - 0) - \widetilde{\psi}_n(j^n_*,\, \varphi^n_{s_-}(j^n_*) - 0) = (\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_--1} + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_--1}\alpha_{s_-})(j - j^n_*) \overset{(54)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant (\lambda_{s_--1} + \mu_{s_--1}\alpha_{s_-})(j - j^n_*) + \varepsilon_0(1+A)|j - j^n_*|.$$

By (61) and (62),

$$2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n}} \leqslant 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|(\lambda_{s_+} + \mu_{s_+}\alpha_{s_+})(j - j^n_*)|}, \tag{63}$$

$$2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_--1}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_--1}\varphi^n_{s_-}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_--1,n}} \leqslant 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|(\lambda_{s_--1} + \mu_{s_--1}\alpha_{s_-})(j - j^n_*)|}. \tag{64}$$

Let $j^n_* = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n}} \rho(2^{j + \widetilde{N}_n}) \overset{(60),(63)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant M(\varepsilon_0)\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n}) \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|(\lambda_{s_+} + \mu_{s_+}\alpha_{s_+})j|} \cdot 2^{\varepsilon_0 j} \overset{(61)}{\underset{\Lambda,c,\gamma}{\lesssim}} \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n}),$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_--1}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_--1}\varphi^n_{s_-}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_--1,n}} \rho(2^{j + \widetilde{N}_n}) \overset{(60),(64)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant M(\varepsilon_0)\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n}) \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|(\lambda_{s_--1} + \mu_{s_--1}\alpha_{s_-})j|} \cdot 2^{\varepsilon_0 j} \overset{(61)}{\underset{\Lambda,c,\gamma}{\lesssim}} \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n}).$$

If $j^n_* = N_n$, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_+}j + \widetilde{\mu}_{s_+}\varphi^n_{s_+}(j) + \widetilde{\nu}_{s_+,n}} \rho(2^{j + \widetilde{N}_n}) \overset{(60),(63)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant M(\varepsilon_0)\rho(2^{N_n + \widetilde{N}_n}) \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|(\lambda_{s_+} + \mu_{s_+}\alpha_{s_+})(j - N_n)|} \cdot 2^{\varepsilon_0(N_n - j)} \overset{(61)}{\underset{\Lambda,c,\gamma}{\lesssim}} \rho(2^{N_n + \widetilde{N}_n}),$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_{s_--1}j+\widetilde{\mu}_{s_--1}\varphi^n_{s_-}(j)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s_--1,n}}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}) \overset{(60),(64)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant M(\varepsilon_0)\rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n})\sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}|(\lambda_{s_--1}+\mu_{s_--1}\alpha_{s_-})(j-N_n)|}\cdot 2^{\varepsilon_0(N_n-j)} \underset{\Lambda,c,\gamma}{\overset{(61)}{\lesssim}} \rho(2^{N_n+\widetilde{N}_n}).$$

Let $s_-\leqslant s<s_+$. Since $\varphi^n_{s+1}(j^n_*)\overset{(53)}{=}\varphi^n_s(j^n_*)$, then

$$\varphi^n_{s+1}(j)-\varphi^n_s(j)=\alpha_{s+1}j+\beta_{s+1,n}-\alpha_s j-\beta_{s,n}=(\alpha_{s+1}-\alpha_s)(j-j^n_*). \qquad (65)$$

It follows from (53), (56) and (57) that $\widetilde{\lambda}_s j^n_*+\widetilde{\mu}_s\varphi^n_{t(s)}(j^n_*)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s,n}=\psi_n(j^n_*,\, l^n_*)=0$. Therefore,

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_s j+\widetilde{\mu}_s\varphi^n_{t(s)}(j)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s,n}=(\widetilde{\lambda}_s+\widetilde{\mu}_s\alpha_{t(s)})(j-j^n_*)\overset{(54)}{\leqslant}$$
$$\leqslant(\lambda_s+\mu_s\alpha_{t(s)})(j-j^n_*)+\varepsilon_0(1+A)|j-j^n_*|.$$

By (61) and (62),

$$2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_s j+\widetilde{\mu}_s\varphi^n_{t(s)}(j)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s,n}}(|j-j^n_*|+1)\underset{\Lambda}{\lesssim} 2^{-\frac{|(\lambda_s+\mu_s\alpha_{t(s)})(j-j^n_*)|}{2}}. \qquad (66)$$

This together with an inequality

$$\sum_{\varphi^n_s(j)\leqslant l<\varphi^n_{s+1}(j)} 2^{\widetilde{\mu}_s l}\leqslant 2^{\widetilde{\mu}_s\varphi^n_{t(s)}(j)}(\varphi^n_{s+1}(j)-\varphi^n_s(j)+1)$$

imply that

$$\sum_{(j,\,l)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n_s} 2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,l)}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})\leqslant\sum_{j=0}^{N_n}2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_s j+\widetilde{\mu}_s\varphi^n_{t(s)}(j)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s,n}}(\varphi^n_{s+1}(j)-\varphi^n_s(j)+1)\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})\underset{\Lambda}{\overset{(65)}{\lesssim}}$$

$$\lesssim\sum_{j=0}^{N_n}2^{\widetilde{\lambda}_s j+\widetilde{\mu}_s\varphi^n_{t(s)}(j)+\widetilde{\nu}_{s,n}}(|j-j^n_*|+1)\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})\underset{\Lambda}{\overset{(66)}{\lesssim}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_n}2^{-\frac{|(\lambda_s+\mu_s\alpha_{t(s)})(j-j^n_*)|}{2}}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})\overset{(60)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant M(\varepsilon_0)\sum_{j=0}^{N_n}2^{-\frac{|(\lambda_s+\mu_s\alpha_{t(s)})(j-j^n_*)|}{2}}2^{\varepsilon_0|j-j^n_*|}\rho(2^{j^n_*+\widetilde{N}_n})\underset{\Lambda,\gamma,c}{\overset{(61)}{\lesssim}}\rho(2^{j^n_*+\widetilde{N}_n}).$$

Let $s\notin S$, $s\neq s_--1$ and $s<m$. It follows from (58) that

$$\operatorname{card}\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n_s\underset{c}{\lesssim}(\log_2 n)^2. \qquad (67)$$

Let $(\hat{j},\,\hat{l})$ be the maximum point of the function $\widetilde{\psi}_n$ on the set $\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n_s$. Then

$$\sum_{(j,\,l)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\cap E^n_s}2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,l)}\rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n})\underset{c}{\overset{(60),(67)}{\lesssim}}M(\varepsilon_0)2^{\varepsilon_0 N_n}(\log_2 n)^2\cdot 2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(\hat{j},\hat{l})}\rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n+j^n_*}).$$

Since $\widetilde{\Psi} \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_0, J}(\Psi)$, then

$$|\widetilde{\psi}_n(\hat{j},\, \hat{l}) - \psi_n(\hat{j},\, \hat{l})| \overset{(55)}{\leqslant} \varepsilon_0 \log_2 n.$$

Since $s \notin S$, $s \ne s_- - 1$ and $s < m$, then

$$\{(0,\, \varphi^n_s(0)),\, (0,\, \varphi^n_{s+1}(0)),\, (N_n,\, \varphi^n_s(N_n)),\, (N_n,\, \varphi^n_{s+1}(N_n))\} \subset V_n \backslash (j^n_*,\, l^n_*).$$

The function $\psi_n|_{E^n_s}$ is affine, therefore,

$$\sup_{(\xi,\,\eta)\in E^n_s} \psi_n(\xi,\, \eta) \leqslant \max\big\{\psi_n(0,\, \varphi^n_s(0)),\, \psi_n(0,\, \varphi^n_{s+1}(0)),$$

$$\psi_n(N_n,\, \varphi^n_s(N_n)),\, \psi_n(N_n,\, \varphi^n_{s+1}(N_n))\big\} \overset{(59)}{\leqslant} -\gamma \log_2 n.$$

Hence,

$$\Sigma^n_s \underset{c}{\overset{(58)}{\lesssim}} M(\varepsilon_0) n^{c\varepsilon_0} (\log_2 n)^2 2^{-\gamma \log_2 n} 2^{\varepsilon_0 \log_2 n} \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n + j^n_*}) \underset{c,\Lambda,\gamma}{\lesssim}$$

$$\lesssim n^{-\gamma + \varepsilon_0(c+1)} (\log_2 n)^2 \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n + j^n_*}) \overset{(61)}{\leqslant} \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n + j^n_*})$$

for sufficiently large n.

It remains to consider the case $s = m$, $s \notin S$. Since $\mu_m < 0$, then

$$\Sigma^n_m \underset{\Lambda}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_m(j))} \rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}) \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{N_n} 2^{\psi_n(j,\, \varphi^n_m(j)) + |\widetilde{\psi}_n(j,\, \varphi^n_m(j)) - \psi_n(j,\, \varphi^n_m(j))|} \rho(2^{j+\widetilde{N}_n}) \overset{(55),(58),(59),(60)}{\leqslant}$$

$$\leqslant M(\varepsilon_0) 2^{\varepsilon_0 N_n} (c \log_2 n) 2^{-\gamma \log_2 n + \varepsilon_0 \log_2 n} \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n + j^n_*}) \underset{\Lambda,c,\gamma}{\overset{(58)}{\lesssim}} n^{-\gamma+\varepsilon_0(c+1)} (\log_2 n) \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n + j^n_*}) \overset{(61)}{\leqslant} \rho(2^{\widetilde{N}_n + j^n_*})$$

for sufficiently large n. □

Define the spaces X_1, $\widetilde{X}_1$, X_2 and projections $P_{\mathcal{N}}$ by (12), (13), (14) and (16), respectively.

Proposition 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\mathcal{N}_k \subset \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}^d$, $1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, $n = \sum\limits_{k=1}^m n_k$, $\mathcal{N} \subset \cup_{k=1}^m \mathcal{N}_k$, $\vartheta_{j,0} = d_j$, $\vartheta_{j,1} = \mathcal{A}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then for $s \in \{0,\, 1\}$ we have*

$$\vartheta_{n,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^m \vartheta_{n_k,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}_k} : X_1 \to X_2).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}'_1 = \mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N}'_k = \big(\mathcal{N}_k \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{N}_i\big) \cap \mathcal{N}$. Then for any $k = 1, \ldots, m$

$$\vartheta_{n_k,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}'_k} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{(19),(20)}{\leqslant} \vartheta_{n_k,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}_k} : X_1 \to X_2).$$

Therefore, the assertion follows from inequalities $d_{n'+n''}(M'+M'',\, X_2) \leqslant d_{n'}(M',\, X_2) + d_{n''}(M'',\, X_2)$, $\mathcal{A}_{n'+n''}(T'+T'' : X_1 \to X_2) \leqslant \mathcal{A}_{n'}(T' : X_1 \to X_2) + \mathcal{A}_{n''}(T'' : X_1 \to X_2)$, from the inclusion $P_{\mathcal{N}}(B_{X_1}) \subset \sum_{k=1}^m P_{\mathcal{N}'_k}(B_{X_1})$ and from the equality $P_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum\limits_{k=1}^m P_{\mathcal{N}'_k}$. □

Let E be a finite set, $F : E \to \mathbb{R}$. Denote

$$\mathrm{Argmax}\,\{F(x) : x \in E\} = \left\{y \in E : F(y) = \max_{x\in E} F(x)\right\}. \tag{68}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|(x_1, \ldots, x_d)| = \max_{1\leqslant i\leqslant d} |x_i|$.

By (15),

$$d_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \overset{n}{\asymp} d_n(\mathrm{id} : X_1 \to X_2),$$

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \overset{n}{\asymp} \mathcal{A}_n(\mathrm{id} : X_1 \to X_2).$$

If $d_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) \underset{n\to\infty}{\to} 0$, then the operator Id is compact and, therefore, by (2) we have

$$\mathcal{A}_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)) = \lambda_n(\mathrm{Id} : B^{s_1}_{p_1,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_1) \to B^{s_2}_{p_2,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_2)).$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{N} = \left\{(\nu, \mathbf{m}) : 2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \in \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d\right\}, \quad \mathcal{N}^* = \left\{(\nu, \mathbf{m}) : 2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \notin \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]^d\right\}.$$

The following estimate was proved in [13] (see Lemma 4.2):

$$\mathcal{A}_n(P_{\mathcal{N}^*} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\asymp} n^{-\widetilde{\theta}_1}.$$

Similarly, one can prove that

$$d_n(P_{\mathcal{N}^*} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\asymp} n^{-\theta_1}$$

(all arguments are the same, and instead of approximation numbers one takes Kolmogorov widths; see also [51]). Therefore, it is enough to obtain the estimate of $d_n(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2)$ and $\mathcal{A}_n(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2)$.

Let us obtain the order estimate of $2^{-\nu(s_1-s_2)}w_1(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})^{-1/p_1}w_2(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})^{1/p_2}$ for $(\nu, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N}$. If $\mathbf{m} \neq 0$ and $x \in Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}}$, then

$$w_1(x) \overset{x,\nu,\mathbf{m}}{\asymp} 2^{-\nu\beta_g p_1}|\mathbf{m}|^{\beta_g p_1} \left(\log_2 \frac{2^\nu}{|\mathbf{m}|}\right)^{p_1\alpha_g} \rho_g^{-p_1} \left(\log_2 \frac{2^\nu}{|\mathbf{m}|}\right),$$

$$w_2(x) \overset{x,\nu,\mathbf{m}}{\asymp} 2^{\nu\beta_v p_2}|\mathbf{m}|^{-\beta_v p_2} \left(\log_2 \frac{2^\nu}{|\mathbf{m}|}\right)^{-p_2\alpha_v} \rho_v^{p_2} \left(\log_2 \frac{2^\nu}{|\mathbf{m}|}\right).$$

Hence,

$$2^{-\nu(s_1-s_2)}w_1(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})^{-1/p_1}w_2(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})^{1/p_2} \overset{\nu,\mathbf{m}}{\asymp} |\mathbf{m}|^{-\delta} \left(\log_2 \frac{2^\nu}{|\mathbf{m}|}\right)^{-\alpha} \rho \left(\log_2 \frac{2^\nu}{|\mathbf{m}|}\right). \tag{69}$$

If $\mathbf{m} = 0$, then by (4) and (5) the following order equalities hold:

$$w_1(Q_{\nu,0}) = \int\limits_{Q_{\nu,0}} x^{\beta_g p_1} |\log_2 |x||^{p_1\alpha_g} \rho_g^{-p_1}(|\log_2 |x||)\, dx \overset{\nu}{\asymp} r^{\beta_g p_1 + d} |\log_2 r|^{p_1\alpha_g} \rho_g^{-p_1}(|\log_2 r|)\Big|_{r=2^{-\nu}},$$

$$w_2(Q_{\nu,0}) = \int\limits_{Q_{\nu,0}} x^{-\beta_v p_2} |\log_2 |x||^{-p_2\alpha_v} \rho_v^{p_2}(|\log_2 |x||)\, dx \overset{\nu}{\asymp} r^{-\beta_v p_2 + d} |\log_2 r|^{-p_2\alpha_v} \rho_v^{p_2}(|\log_2 r|)\Big|_{r=2^{-\nu}}.$$

Therefore,

$$2^{-\nu(s_1-s_2)} w_1(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})^{-1/p_1} w_2(Q_{\nu,\mathbf{m}})^{1/p_2} \overset{\nu}{\lesssim} \nu^{-\alpha}\rho(\nu). \tag{70}$$

Proof of an upper estimate. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ (it will be chosen later).

Note that it is enough to consider $n = 2^{Nd}$, where $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let us construct the covering of the set $\mathcal{N}$ for any n.

Step 1. Define the covering of the set $\{(\nu,\, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N} : \mathbf{m} \neq 0\}$.

Let $0 \leqslant j \leqslant Nd$,

$$c_N(j) = \varepsilon j \text{ or } c_N(j) = \varepsilon(Nd - j) \tag{71}$$

(the choice will be made later). For $2^j \leqslant t < 2^{j+1}$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we put

$$\nu_t(l) = N + t + l - \left[\frac{j}{d}\right] - [c_N(j)],$$

$$\mathcal{M}^1_{\nu_t(l)} = \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : 2^{-\nu_t(l)}\mathbf{m} \in [-2^{-t},\, 2^{-t}]^d \backslash [-2^{-t-1},\, 2^{-t-1}]^d\}.$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{N}^1_{j,l} = \{(\nu_t(l),\, \mathbf{m}) : 2^j \leqslant t < 2^{j+1},\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{\nu_t(l)},\ \nu_t(l) \geqslant 0\}.$$

Let us remark that if $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^1_{\nu_t(l)}$, then

$$|\mathbf{m}| \underset{d}{\asymp} 2^{-t} \cdot 2^{\nu_t(l)} = 2^{N+l-\left[\frac{j}{d}\right]-[c_N(j)]}, \tag{72}$$

and if $N + l - \left[\frac{j}{d}\right] - [c_N(j)] \geqslant 0$ (i.e. $\mathcal{M}^1_{\nu_t(l)} \neq \varnothing$), then

$$\operatorname{card} \mathcal{M}^1_{\nu_t(l)} = \left(2^{N+l-\left[\frac{j}{d}\right]-[c_N(j)]+1} + 1\right)^d - \left(2 \cdot \left[2^{N+l-\left[\frac{j}{d}\right]-[c_N(j)]-1}\right] + 1\right)^d \underset{d}{\asymp} 2^{Nd+ld-j-[c_N(j)]d}. \tag{73}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{gathered}\sum_{j=0}^{Nd}\sum_{l<0} \operatorname{rk} P_{\mathcal{N}^1_{j,l}} = \sum_{j=0}^{Nd}\sum_{l<0}\sum_{2^j \leqslant t < 2^{j+1}} \operatorname{card} \mathcal{M}^1_{\nu_t(l)} \overset{(73)}{\underset{d}{\lesssim}} \\ \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{Nd}\sum_{l<0} 2^j \cdot 2^{Nd+ld-j-[c_N(j)]d} \underset{d}{\asymp} n \sum_{j=0}^{Nd} 2^{-[c_N(j)]d} \overset{(71)}{\underset{\varepsilon,\, d}{\asymp}} n.\end{gathered} \tag{74}$$

Let $j,\, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For $2^{j+Nd} \leqslant t < 2^{j+1+Nd}$ we put $\widetilde{\nu}_t(l) = t + l$,

$$\mathcal{M}^2_{\widetilde{\nu}_t(l)} = \{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : 2^{-\widetilde{\nu}_t(l)}\mathbf{m} \in [-2^{-t},\, 2^{-t}]^d \backslash [-2^{-t-1},\, 2^{-t-1}]^d\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}^2_{j,l} = \{(\widetilde{\nu}_t(l), \mathbf{m}) : 2^{j+Nd} \leqslant t < 2^{j+1+Nd},\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^2_{\widetilde{\nu}_t(l)}\}.$$

If $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^2_{\widetilde{\nu}_t(l)}$, then

$$|\mathbf{m}| \underset{d}{\asymp} 2^{-t} \cdot 2^{\widetilde{\nu}_t(l)} = 2^l, \tag{75}$$

$$\operatorname{card} \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde{\nu}_t(l)} = \bigl(2 \cdot 2^l + 1\bigr)^d - \bigl(2 \cdot [2^{l-1}] + 1\bigr)^d \underset{d}{\asymp} 2^{ld}. \tag{76}$$

Denote

$$j_0(N) = Nd \cdot \max\left\{\frac{q_2}{2} - 1,\, 0\right\},\ \ j_1(N) = Nd \cdot \max\left\{\frac{\min\{q_2,\, q_1'\}}{2} - 1,\, 0\right\}.$$

For $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $s \in \{0,\, 1\}$ we put

$$\mathcal{M}^{3,s}_\nu = \left\{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \backslash \{0\} : 2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \in \left[-2^{-2^{[j_s(N)]+Nd}},\, 2^{-2^{[j_s(N)]+Nd}}\right]^d\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}^{3,s} = \{(\nu,\, \mathbf{m}) :\ \mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{M}^{3,s}_\nu\}.$$

Let us show that for $s \in \{0,\, 1\}$ we have

$$\bigl(\cup_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant Nd,\, l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{N}^1_{j,l}\bigr) \cup \bigl(\cup_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant j_s(N),\, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{N}^2_{j,l}\bigr) \cup \mathcal{N}^{3,s} \supset \{(\nu,\, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N} : \mathbf{m} \neq 0\}.$$

Indeed, if $2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \in \bigl[-\frac{1}{2},\, \frac{1}{2}\bigr]^d \backslash \left[-2^{-2^{Nd}-1},\, 2^{-2^{Nd}-1}\right]^d$, then there exist $0 \leqslant j \leqslant Nd$, $2^j \leqslant t \leqslant 2^{j+1} - 1$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \in [-2^{-t},\, 2^{-t}]^d \backslash [-2^{-t-1},\, 2^{-t-1}]^d$ and $\nu = N + t + l - \bigl[\frac{j}{d}\bigr] - [c_N(j)]$. Hence, $(\nu,\, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N}^1_{j,l}$.

If $2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \in \left[-2^{-2^{Nd}-1},\, 2^{-2^{Nd}-1}\right]^d \backslash \left[-2^{-2^{Nd+[j_s(N)]}},\, 2^{-2^{Nd+[j_s(N)]}}\right]^d$, then there exist $0 \leqslant j \leqslant j_s(N)$, $2^{Nd+j} \leqslant t \leqslant 2^{Nd+j+1} - 1$ such that $2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \in [-2^{-t},\, 2^{-t}]^d \backslash [-2^{-t-1},\, 2^{-t-1}]^d$. Here $\nu \geqslant t$, i.e. $\nu = t + l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Therefore, $(\nu,\, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N}^2_{j,l}$.

Finally, if $2^{-\nu}\mathbf{m} \in \left[-2^{-2^{[j_s(N)]+Nd}},\, 2^{-2^{[j_s(N)]+Nd}}\right]^d$, then $(\nu,\, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N}^{3,s}$.

Step 2. Let us construct the covering of $\{(\nu,\, 0) : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Let

$$\mathcal{N}^4 = \{(0,\, 0),\, \dots,\, (2^{Nd} - 1,\, 0)\},$$

$$\mathcal{N}^5_j = \{(2^{j+Nd},\, 0),\, (2^{j+Nd} + 1,\, 0),\, \dots,\, (2^{j+1+Nd} - 1,\, 0)\},\ j \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$\mathcal{N}^{6,s} = \{(2^{Nd+[j_s(N)]} + t,\, 0),\ t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, $s \in \{0,\, 1\}$.

Then

$$\mathcal{N}^4 \cup \left(\cup_{j=0}^{[j_s(N)]-1} \mathcal{N}^5_j\right) \cup \mathcal{N}^{6,s} \supset \{(\nu,\, 0) : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

Step 3. Let $\mu^1_{j,l}, \mu^2_{j,l}, \mu^5_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $s \in \{0, 1\}$, $L_j = \left\{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ :\, N + l - \left[\frac{j}{d}\right] - [c_N(j)] \geqslant 0\right\}$,

$$n_s := \sum_{j=0}^{Nd} \sum_{l\in L_j} \mu^1_{j,l} + \sum_{j=0}^{Nd} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\mathbb{Z}_+,\, \mathcal{N}^1_{j,l}\neq\varnothing} \dim\left(P_{\mathcal{N}^1_{j,l}} X_1\right) +$$

$$+ \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_s(N)} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mu^2_{j,l} + \dim(P_{\mathcal{N}^4} X_1) + \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_s(N)} \mu^5_j < \infty,$$

$\vartheta_{\mu,0} = d_\mu$, $\vartheta_{\mu,1} = \mathcal{A}_\mu$ ($\vartheta_{\mu,1} = \lambda_\mu$ if the embedding is compact), $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by Proposition 2,

$$\vartheta_{n_s,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{Nd} \sum_{l\in L_j} \vartheta_{\mu^1_{j,l},s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^1_{j,l}} : X_1 \to X_2) +$$

$$+ \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_s(N)} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \vartheta_{\mu^2_{j,l},s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^2_{j,l}} : X_1 \to X_2) + \vartheta_{0,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^{3,s}} : X_1 \to X_2) +$$

$$+ \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_s(N)} \vartheta_{\mu^5_j,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^5_j} : X_1 \to X_2) + \vartheta_{0,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^{6,s}} : X_1 \to X_2).$$

Combining (12), (13), (14), (17), (69), (72), (73) and Theorems 2 and 3, we get

$$\vartheta_{\mu^1_{j,l},s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^1_{j,l}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} \left(2^{N+l-\left[\frac{j}{d}\right]-[c_N(j)]}\right)^{-\delta} 2^{-\alpha j} \rho\left(2^j\right) \vartheta_{\mu^1_{j,l},s}(B^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}),$$

where

$$m^1_{j,l} = 2^{Nd+ld-j-[c_N(j)]d}, \;\; k^1_{j,l} = 2^j. \tag{77}$$

Similarly, combining (12), (13), (14), (17), (69), (75), (76) and Theorems 2 and 3, we obtain

$$\vartheta_{\mu^2_{j,l},s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^2_{j,l}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} 2^{-\delta l} \left(2^{j+Nd}\right)^{-\alpha} \rho\left(2^{j+Nd}\right) \vartheta_{\mu^2_{j,l},s}(B^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}),$$

$$m^2_{j,l} = 2^{ld}, \;\; k^2_{j,l} = 2^{j+Nd}. \tag{78}$$

Denote $r_0 = \max\left(\frac{q_2}{2},\, 1\right)$, $r_1 = \max\left(\frac{\min(q_2,\, q_1')}{2},\, 1\right)$. By (12), (13), (14), (17), (69) and inequalities $|\mathbf{m}| \geqslant 1$, $\log_2 \frac{2^\nu}{|\mathbf{m}|} \geqslant 2^{[j_s(N)]+Nd}$, $(\nu,\, \mathbf{m}) \in \mathcal{N}^{3,s}$, we have

$$\vartheta_{0,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^{3,s}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\lesssim} (2^{j_s(N)+Nd})^{-\alpha} \rho(2^{j_s(N)+Nd}) \overset{n}{\asymp} n^{-\alpha r_s} \rho\left(n^{r_s}\right).$$

Further, from (12), (13), (14), (17), (70) we get

$$\vartheta_{0,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^{6,s}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_+} (2^{j_s(N)+Nd} + t)^{-\alpha} \rho(2^{j_s(N)+Nd} + t) \overset{n}{\asymp}$$

$$\asymp (2^{j_s(N)+Nd})^{-\alpha}\rho(2^{j_s(N)+Nd}) \overset{n}{\asymp} n^{-\alpha r_s}\rho\left(n^{r_s}\right),$$

$$\vartheta_{\mu^5_j,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}^5_j} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{j,n}{\lesssim} (2^{j+Nd})^{-\alpha}\rho(2^{j+Nd})\vartheta_{\mu^5_j,s}(B^{k^5_j}_{q_1},\, l^{k^5_j}_{q_2}),$$

where

$$k^5_j = 2^{j+Nd}. \tag{79}$$

Thus,

$$\vartheta_{n_s,s}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{Nd}\sum_{l\in L_j} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}}2^{-l\delta}2^{j(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d})}\rho\left(2^j\right)2^{c_N(j)\delta}\vartheta_{\mu^1_{j,l},s}(B^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2})+$$

$$+\sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_s(N)}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}\rho\left(2^jn\right)\vartheta_{\mu^2_{j,l},s}(B^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2})+$$

$$+n^{-\alpha r_s}\rho\left(n^{r_s}\right)+\sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_s(N)} n^{-\alpha}2^{-j\alpha}\rho(2^jn)\vartheta_{\mu^5_j,s}(B^{k^5_j}_{q_1},\, l^{k^5_j}_{q_2}) =: \Sigma_s.$$

Step 4. Let $p_2 \leqslant 2$ and $q_2 \leqslant 2$. We take $\mu^1_{j,l} = 0$, $\mu^2_{j,l} = 0$, $\mu^5_j = 0$, $c_N(j) = \varepsilon|j - j^n_*|$, where $j^n_* = 0$, if $\alpha > \frac{\delta}{d}$, and $j^n_* = Nd$, if $\alpha < \frac{\delta}{d}$. Then

$$\max(\Sigma_0,\, \Sigma_1) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \sum_{j=0}^{Nd}\sum_{l\geqslant 0} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}}2^{-l\delta}2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}\right)}\rho\left(2^j\right)2^{\varepsilon\delta|j-j^n_*|} + \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}\rho\left(n\right) + n^{-\alpha}\rho(n).$$

Since $\alpha \ne \frac{\delta}{d}$, then $\max(\Sigma_0,\, \Sigma_1) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}},\, n^{-\alpha}\rho(n)\right\}$ for sufficiently small ε.

Similarly one can prove that if $p_1 \geqslant 2$ and $q_1 \geqslant 2$, ε is sufficiently small, $\mu^1_{j,l}$, $\mu^2_{j,l}$, μ^5_j and $c_N(j)$ are defined as above, then $\Sigma_1 \overset{n}{\lesssim} \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}},\, n^{-\alpha}\rho(n)\right\}$.

Step 5. Let us obtain an estimate of Σ_0 for $p_2 \geqslant 2$, $q_2 \geqslant 2$ and under conditions of part 1 of the theorem. For $i = 1, 2$ we put

$$\mu^i_{j,l} = \begin{cases} n\cdot 2^{-[\sigma^i_n(j)]-[\tau^i_n(l,j)]}, \text{ if } l \leqslant \overline{l}_i(j), \\ 0, \text{ if } l > \overline{l}_i(j), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\overline{l}_1(j) = N\left(\frac{p_2}{2}-1\right)+\frac{j}{d}\left(1-\frac{p_2}{q_2}\right), \quad \overline{l}_2(j) = Np_2\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2}\right)-\frac{p_2 j}{q_2 d},$$

$$\sigma^i_n(j) = \varepsilon|j - j^{n,i}_*|, \quad \tau^i_n(l,\, j) = \varepsilon|l - \hat{l}^{n,i}(j)|,$$

$$\hat{l}^{n,i}(j) = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ if } l^{n,i}_* = 0, \\ \widetilde{l}_i(j), \text{ if } l^{n,i}_* = \widetilde{l}_i(j^{n,i}_*), \\ \overline{l}_i(j), \text{ if } l^{n,i}_* = \overline{l}_i(j^{n,i}_*); \end{cases}$$

functions $\widetilde{l}_i$ and numbers $j_*^{n,1} \in \{0,\, Nd\}$, $j_*^{n,2} \in \{0,\, j_0(N)\}$, $l_*^{n,i} \in \{0,\, \widetilde{l}_i(j_*^{n,i}),\, \overline{l}_i(j_*^{n,i})\}$ $(i = 1,\, 2)$ will be defined later. Then $\sum\limits_{j,l} \mu^i_{j,l} \overset{n}{\lesssim} n$. In addition, put $c_N(j) = \varepsilon |j - j_*^{n,1}|$.

Substep 5.1. Estimate the first term of the sum Σ_0. Denote for $l \in L_j$

$$d_{n,j,l} = n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{j(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d})} \cdot 2^{c_N(j)\delta} d_{\mu^1_{j,l}}(B^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}).$$

We apply Theorem 2.

- $p_1 \leqslant 2$, $q_1 \leqslant 2$. Then by (77) we get

$$d_{n,j,l} \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}\right)+\frac{\tau^1_n(l,\, j)}{2}+j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{q_2}\right)+\frac{\sigma^1_n(j)}{2}+c_N(j)\left(\delta-\frac{d}{p_2}\right)}, & \text{if } l \leqslant \overline{l}_1(j), \\ n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{j(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d})+c_N(j)\delta}, & \text{if } l > \overline{l}_1(j). \end{cases}$$

Put

$$F^1_n(j,\, l) = \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}\right)+j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{q_2}\right)}, & \text{if } l \leqslant \overline{l}_1(j), \\ n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}\right)}, & \text{if } l > \overline{l}_1(j) \end{cases}$$

and take some

$$(j_*^{n,1},\, l_*^{n,1}) \in \operatorname{Argmax}\left\{F^1_n(0,\, 0),\, F^1_n(Nd,\, 0),\, F^1_n(0,\, \overline{l}_1(0)),\, F^1_n(Nd,\, \overline{l}_1(Nd))\right\} =$$
$$= \operatorname{Argmax}\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{2}},\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}+\frac{p_2\delta}{q_2\cdot d}}\right\}$$

(see (68)). Here we may assume that either $j_*^{n,1} = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $j_*^{n,1} = Nd$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Apply Lemma 6 for $\varphi^n_1(\xi) = 0$ and $\varphi^n_2(\xi) = \overline{l}_1(\xi)$. If ε is sufficiently small, then

$$\begin{gathered} \Sigma_0' := \sum_{j=0}^{Nd} \sum_{l \in L_j} n^{-\delta/d} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{j(-\alpha+\delta/d)} \rho\,(2^j)\, 2^{c_N(j)\delta} d_{\mu^1_{j,l}}(B^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}},\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}-\alpha+\frac{p_2\delta}{q_2\cdot d}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\} \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \overset{n}{\lesssim} \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}},\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\}. \end{gathered} \tag{80}$$

Indeed, let $q_2 > 2$, $p_2 > 2$ (cases $p_2 = 2$ and $q_2 = 2$ can be treated in a similar way). Notice that

$$\frac{p_2\delta}{2d} + \alpha - \frac{p_2\delta}{q_2 d} = \frac{\alpha q_2}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{q_2} + \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\left(1 - \frac{2}{q_2}\right) \geqslant \min\left\{\frac{\alpha q_2}{2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\} \tag{81}$$

(and the equality holds only if $\frac{\alpha q_2}{2} = \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}$). By conditions of the theorem, $\frac{\alpha q_2}{2} \neq \min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d},\, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2}\right\}$.

If $\frac{\alpha q_2}{2} < \min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d},\, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2}\right\}$, then there exists $\theta > 0$ such that $\frac{\alpha q_2}{2} + \theta < \min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d},\, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2},\, \alpha + \frac{p_2\delta}{2d} - \frac{p_2\delta}{q_2\cdot d}\right\}$. Therefore, $\Sigma_0' \overset{n}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}-\theta} \overset{n}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})$ (here the set S from Lemma 6 is empty).

Let $\frac{\alpha q_2}{2} > \min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d},\, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2}\right\}$. By (81),

$$\frac{p_2\delta}{2d} + \alpha - \frac{p_2\delta}{q_2 d} > \min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d},\, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2}\right\}$$

and minimum of the right-hand side is attained at the unique point. Hence, the set S from Lemma 6 is nonempty and the sum can be estimated from above by $\max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}},\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_2}}\rho(n)\right\}$.

- $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) < 1$. Put $\widetilde{l}_1(j) := \frac{j}{d}\left(1 - \frac{2}{q_2}\right)$, $\overline{m}^1_{j,l} = 2^{Nd+ld-j}$. Then the condition $n > \overline{m}^1_{j,l}(k^1_{j,l})^{2/q_2}$ is equivalent to the inequality $l < \widetilde{l}_1(j)$. Since $j \leqslant Nd$, $p_2 \geqslant 2$ and $q_2 \geqslant 2$, we have $\widetilde{l}_1(j) \leqslant \overline{l}_1(j)$. Therefore,

$$d_{n,j,l} \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}} \cdot 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}-\frac{d}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{2}\right)+j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}\right)}\times$$

$$\times 2^{\frac{\sigma^1_n(j)\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+\frac{\tau^1_n(l,\,j)\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+c_N(j)d\left(\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_1}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}\right)},\ \text{if } 0 \leqslant l < \widetilde{l}_1(j),$$

$$d_{n,j,l} \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}} \cdot 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})d}{p_2}\right)+j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{q_2}\right)}\times$$

$$\times 2^{\frac{\sigma^1_n(j)\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\tau^1_n(l,\,j)\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+c_N(j)d\left(\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}\right)},\ \text{if } \widetilde{l}_1(j) \leqslant l < \overline{l}_1(j),$$

$$d_{n,j,l} \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{j(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d})} \cdot 2^{c_N(j)\delta},\ \text{if } l \geqslant \overline{l}_1(j).$$

Let

$$F^1_n(j,\, l) = n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}} \cdot 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}-\frac{d}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{2}\right)+j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_2}+\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}\right)},$$

if $0 \leqslant l < \widetilde{l}_1(j)$,

$$F^1_n(j,\, l) = n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}} \cdot 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})d}{p_2}\right)+j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{q_2}\right)},$$

if $\widetilde{l}_1(j) \leqslant l < \overline{l}_1(j)$,

$$F^1_n(j,\, l) = n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{j(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d})},\ \text{if } l \geqslant \overline{l}_1(j).$$

Note that $\widetilde{l}_1(0) = 0$. Choose

$$(j^{n,1}_*,\, l^{n,1}_*) \in \operatorname{Argmax}\left\{F^1_n(0,\, 0),\, F^1_n(Nd,\, 0),\, F^1_n(0,\, \overline{l}_1(0)),\right.$$

$$F_n^1(Nd,\, \overline{l}_1(Nd)),\, F_n^1(Nd,\, \widetilde{l}_1(Nd))\Big\}.$$

By Lemma 6, for enough small ε we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{Nd}\sum_{l\in L_j} n^{-\delta/d}2^{-l\delta}2^{j(-\alpha+\delta/d)}\rho\,(2^j)\,2^{c_N(j)\delta}d_{\mu^1_{j,l}}(B^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{n}{\lesssim}$$
$$\lesssim \max\Big\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},$$
$$n^{-\frac{\delta p_2}{2d}-\alpha+\frac{\delta p_2}{q_2\cdot d}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\alpha+\left(-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{q_2}\right)}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\Big\} \overset{n}{\lesssim} \tag{82}$$
$$\lesssim \max\Big\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\Big\}.$$

It can be proved in a similar way as in the previous case. Here $\varphi^n_1(\xi) = 0$, $\varphi^n_2(\xi) = \widetilde{l}_1(\xi)$, $\varphi^n_3(\xi) = \overline{l}_1(\xi)$.

- $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \geqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $\lambda(\mathbf{q}) < 1$. Let $\widetilde{l}_1(j) = \left(N-\frac{j}{d}\right)\left(\frac{p_2}{2}-1\right)$, $\overline{m}^1_{j,l} = 2^{Nd+ld-j}$. Then the condition $n > (\overline{m}^1_{j,l})^{\frac{2}{p_2}}k^1_{j,l}$ is equivalent to the inequality $l < \widetilde{l}_1(j)$. Hence, by Theorem 2,

$$d_{n,j,l} \lesssim n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})d}{p_2}\right)}\times$$
$$\times 2^{\frac{\sigma^1_n(j)\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\tau^1_n(l,\, j)\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+c_N(j)\left(\delta-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})d}{p_2}\right)},$$

if $0 \leqslant l < \widetilde{l}_1(j)$,

$$d_{n,j,l} \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{p_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{p_2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{p_2}\right)}\times$$
$$\times 2^{\frac{\sigma^1_n(j)\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+\frac{\tau^1_n(l,\, j)\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+c_N(j)\left(\delta-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{p_2}\right)},$$

if $\widetilde{l}_1(j) \leqslant l < \overline{l}_1(j)$,

$$d_{n,j,l} \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}}2^{-l\delta}2^{j(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d})+c_N(j)\delta},$$

if $l \geqslant \overline{l}_1(j)$.

Put

$$F^1_n(j,\, l) = n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})d}{p_2}\right)},$$

if $0 \leqslant l < \widetilde{l}_1(j)$,

$$F^1_n(j,\, l) = n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{p_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{p_2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{p_2}\right)},\ \text{if } \widetilde{l}_1(j) \leqslant l < \overline{l}_1(j),$$

$$F^1_n(j,\, l) = n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}}2^{-l\delta}2^{j(-\alpha+\frac{\delta}{d})},\ \text{if } l \geqslant \overline{l}_1(j).$$

Note that $\widetilde{l}_1(0) = \overline{l}_1(0)$ and $\widetilde{l}_1(Nd) = 0$. Choose

$$(j^{n,1}_*,\, l^{n,1}_*) \in \operatorname{Argmax}\left\{F^1_n(0,\, 0),\, F^1_n(Nd,\, 0),\, F^1_n(0,\, \overline{l}_1(0)),\, F^1_n(Nd,\, \overline{l}_1(Nd))\right\}.$$

By Lemma 6, for enough small ε we have

$$\begin{gathered}\sum_{j=0}^{Nd}\sum_{l\in L_j} n^{-\delta/d}2^{-l\delta}2^{j(-\alpha+\delta/d)}\rho\left(2^j\right)2^{c_N(j)\delta}d_{\mu^1_{j,l}}(B^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^1_{j,l},k^1_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{\delta p_2}{q_2\cdot d}-\frac{\delta p_2}{2d}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\} \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\}.\end{gathered} \tag{83}$$

Here $\varphi^n_1(\xi)=0$, $\varphi^n_2(\xi)=\widetilde{l}_1(\xi)$, $\varphi^n_3(\xi)=\overline{l}_1(\xi)$.

Substep 5.2. To estimate the second term of the sum Σ_0, we apply Theorem 2 again. Note that

$$\overline{l}_2(j_0(N))=0. \tag{84}$$

- $p_1\leqslant 2$, $q_1\leqslant 2$. Then, by (78),

$$n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}d_{\mu^2_{j,l}}(B^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim}$$

$$\lesssim \begin{cases} n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}\right)}2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}\right)}\cdot 2^{\frac{\sigma^2_n(j)}{2}+\frac{\tau^2_n(l,\,j)}{2}}, & \text{if } l\leqslant \overline{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}, & \text{if } l>\overline{l}_2(j). \end{cases}$$

Put

$$F^2_n(j,\,l)=\begin{cases} n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{2}}2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}\right)}2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}\right)}, & \text{if } l\leqslant \overline{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}, & \text{if } l>\overline{l}_2(j). \end{cases}$$

Choose

$$(j^{n,2}_*,\, l^{n,2}_*)\in \operatorname{Argmax}\left\{F^2_n(0,\,0),\, F^2_n(0,\,\overline{l}_2(0)),\, F^2_n(j_0(N),\,0)\right\}.$$

Apply Lemma 6 for $\varphi^n_1(\xi)=0$, $\varphi^n_2(\xi)=\overline{l}_2(\xi)$, taking into account (84). If ε is sufficiently small, then

$$\begin{gathered}\Sigma''_0 := \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_0(N)}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}\rho\left(2^jn\right)d_{\mu^2_{j,l}}(B^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^2_{j,l},k^2_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}},\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}-\alpha+\frac{\delta p_2}{q_2 d}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\} \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \overset{n}{\lesssim} \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{p_2}-\frac{1}{2}},\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\}.\end{gathered} \tag{85}$$

Indeed, consider the case $p_2>2$, $q_2>2$ (in other cases of the assertion can be proved in a similar way). By conditions of the theorem, we have

$$\min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\}\neq \min\left\{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2},\, \frac{\alpha q_2}{2}\right\}.$$

If $\min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\} < \min\left\{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2},\, \frac{\alpha q_2}{2}\right\}$, then by (81)

$$\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}+\alpha-\frac{\delta p_2}{q_2 d} > \min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\}$$

and

$$\Sigma_0'' \overset{n}{\lesssim} n^{-\min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\}}.$$

Here the set S from Lemma 6 is empty.

If $\min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\} > \min\left\{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2},\, \frac{\alpha q_2}{2}\right\}$, then by (81)

$$\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}+\alpha-\frac{\delta p_2}{q_2 d} > \min\left\{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2},\, \frac{\alpha q_2}{2}\right\},$$

the right-hand side attains its minimum at the unique point and

$$\Sigma_0'' \overset{n}{\lesssim} \max\left\{n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{q_2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\}.$$

Here the set S from Lemma 6 is nonempty.

- $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \leqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) < 1$. Put $\widetilde{l}_2(j) = N\left(1-\frac{2}{q_2}\right)-\frac{2j}{q_2 d}$. Then the condition $n > m_{j,l}^2(k_{j,l}^2)^{2/q_2}$ is equivalent to the inequality $l < \widetilde{l}_2(j)$ and

$$n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}d_{\mu_{j,l}^2}(B_{p_1,q_1}^{m_{j,l}^2,k_{j,l}^2},\, l_{p_2,q_2}^{m_{j,l}^2,k_{j,l}^2}) \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim}$$

$$\lesssim \begin{cases} n^{-\alpha-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}-\frac{d}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{2}\right)}2^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})\sigma_n^2(j)}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})\tau_n^2(l,\, j)}{2}}, & \text{if } l < \widetilde{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{q_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{q_2}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})d}{p_2}\right)}2^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})\sigma_n^2(j)}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})\tau_n^2(l,\, j)}{2}}, & \text{if } \widetilde{l}_2(j) \leqslant l < \overline{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}, & \text{if } l \geqslant \overline{l}_2(j). \end{cases}$$

Let

$$F_n^2(j,\, l) = \begin{cases} n^{-\alpha-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{d}{p_2}-\frac{d}{p_1}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{2}\right)}, & \text{if } 0 \leqslant l < \widetilde{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{q_2}}\cdot 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{q_2}\right)+l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})d}{p_2}\right)}, & \text{if } \widetilde{l}_2(j) < l \leqslant \overline{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}, & \text{if } l \geqslant \overline{l}_2(j). \end{cases}$$

Choose

$$(j_*^{n,2},\, l_*^{n,2}) \in \operatorname{Argmax}\left\{F_n^2(0,\, 0),\, F_n^2(0,\, \overline{l}_2(0)),\, F_n^2(0,\, \widetilde{l}_2(0)),\, F_n^2(j_0(N),\, 0)\right\}.$$

By Lemma 6 (taking into account (84) and the equality $\widetilde{l}_2(j_0(N)) = 0$), for enough small ε we obtain

$$\begin{gathered} \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_0(N)}\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} n^{-\alpha}2^{-l\delta}2^{-j\alpha}\rho\left(2^j n\right)d_{\mu_{j,l}^2}(B_{p_1,q_1}^{m_{j,l}^2,k_{j,l}^2},\, l_{p_2,q_2}^{m_{j,l}^2,k_{j,l}^2}) \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\right. \\ \left. n^{-\frac{\delta p_2}{2d}-\alpha+\frac{\delta p_2}{q_2\cdot d}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\alpha+\left(-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{q_2}\right)}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\} \overset{n}{\lesssim} \\ \lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\}. \end{gathered} \tag{86}$$

- $\lambda(\mathbf{p}) \geqslant \lambda(\mathbf{q})$, $\lambda(\mathbf{q}) < 1$. Then $n \leqslant (m^2_{j,l})^{\frac{2}{p_2}} k^2_{j,l}$. Hence,

$$n^{-\alpha} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{-j\alpha} d_{\mu^2_{j,l}}(B^{m^2_{j,l}, k^2_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^2_{j,l}, k^2_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{n,j,l}{\lesssim}$$

$$\lesssim \begin{cases} n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}} 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{p_2}\right)} 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}\right)} \cdot 2^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})\sigma^2_n(j)}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})\tau^2_n(l,\, j)}{2}}, \text{ if } l < \overline{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{-j\alpha}, \text{ if } l \geqslant \overline{l}_2(j). \end{cases}$$

Put

$$F^2_n(j,\, l) = \begin{cases} n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2}} 2^{l\left(-\delta+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})d}{p_2}\right)} 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}\right)}, \text{ if } l < \overline{l}_2(j), \\ n^{-\alpha} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{-j\alpha}, \text{ if } l \geqslant \overline{l}_2(j) \end{cases}$$

and choose

$$(j^{n,2}_*,\, l^{n,2}_*) \in \operatorname{Argmax} \left\{F^2_n(0,\, 0),\, F^2_n(0,\, \overline{l}_2(0)),\, F^2_n(j_0(N),\, 0)\right\}.$$

By Lemma 6, for enough small ε we obtain

$$\sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_0(N)} \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+} n^{-\alpha} 2^{-l\delta} 2^{-j\alpha} \rho\left(2^j n\right) d_{\mu^2_{j,l}}(B^{m^2_{j,l}, k^2_{j,l}}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m^2_{j,l}, k^2_{j,l}}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{n}{\lesssim}$$
$$\lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{\delta p_2}{q_2 d}-\frac{\delta p_2}{2d}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\} \overset{n}{\lesssim}$$
$$\lesssim \max\left\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2}},\, n^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{q_2}-\frac{1}{q_1}}\rho(n),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\frac{\alpha q_2}{2}}\rho(n^{\frac{q_2}{2}})\right\}. \tag{87}$$

Substep 5.3. Now we estimate the last term of the sum Σ_0.

Put $j^n_* = 0$, if $\alpha \geqslant \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}$, $j^n_* = j_0(N)$, if $\alpha < \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}$, $\sigma^5_n(j) = \varepsilon|j - j^n_*|$, $\mu^5_j = n2^{-[\sigma^5_n(j)]}$. Then $\sum\limits_{j=0}^{j_0} \mu^5_j \overset{n}{\lesssim} n$. Theorem A together with (79) yield

$$n^{-\alpha} \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_0(N)} 2^{-j\alpha}\rho(2^j n) d_{\mu^5_j}(B^{k^5_j}_{q_1},\, l^{k^5_j}_{q_2}) \overset{n}{\lesssim} n^{-\alpha} \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_0(N)} 2^{-j\alpha}\rho(2^j n) n^{-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}} \cdot 2^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})\sigma^5_n(j)}{2}} 2^{(j+Nd)\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}} =$$

$$= n^{-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}} \sum_{0\leqslant j\leqslant j_0(N)} 2^{j\left(-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}\right)} \rho(2^j n) \cdot 2^{\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})\sigma^5_n(j)}{2}} =: \Sigma_*.$$

For sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we get

$$\Sigma_* \overset{n}{\lesssim} \begin{cases} n^{-\alpha+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2}}, \text{ if } \alpha > \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}, \\ n^{-\alpha q_2/2}\rho(n^{q_2/2}), \text{ if } \alpha < \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}. \end{cases} \tag{88}$$

If $\alpha = \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2}$, then by conditions of the theorem we have $\frac{\alpha q_2}{2} = \alpha + \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{2} - \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{q})}{q_2} > \min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2} - \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\}$. Therefore,

$$\Sigma_* \overset{n}{\lesssim} n^{-\min\left\{\frac{\delta}{d}+\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{2}-\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{p})}{p_2},\, \frac{p_2\delta}{2d}\right\}}. \tag{89}$$

Combining (80), (82), (83), (85), (86), (87), (88) and (89), we obtain the desired upper estimate.

Step 6. Let us estimate Σ_1 for $1 < p_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$ and $1 < q_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$. By Theorem 3,

$$\lambda_n(B^{m,k}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{m,k}_{p_2,q_2}) \overset{m,k,n}{\lesssim} \begin{cases} \Phi_0(m,\, k,\, n,\, p_1,\, p_2,\, q_1,\, q_2), & \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2} \geqslant 1,\ \frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2} \geqslant 1, \\ \Phi_0(m,\, k,\, n,\, p_2',\, p_1',\, q_1,\, q_2), & \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2} \leqslant 1,\ \frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2} \geqslant 1, \\ \Phi_0(m,\, k,\, n,\, p_1,\, p_2,\, q_2',\, q_1'), & \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2} \geqslant 1,\ \frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2} \leqslant 1, \\ \Phi_0(m,\, k,\, n,\, p_2',\, p_1',\, q_2',\, q_1'), & \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2} \leqslant 1,\ \frac{1}{q_1}+\frac{1}{q_2} \leqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

It remains to use (11) and the upper estimate for Σ_0 which is already proved.

Proof of the lower estimate. Let $p_2 \geqslant 2$, $q_2 \geqslant 2$. Take $\varepsilon = 0$. By (18), (19), (69), (72), (73), (75) and (76), there exist $\nu_n \overset{n}{\asymp} n$ and $\mu_n \overset{n}{\asymp} n$ such that $\mu_n \leqslant \frac{\nu_n}{2}$ and

$$d_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\gtrsim} \max\Big\{d_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}^1_{0,0}} : X_1 \to X_2),$$

$$d_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}^1_{0,\bar{l}_1(0)}} : X_1 \to X_2),\, d_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}^1_{Nd,0}} : X_1 \to X_2),\, d_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}^2_{j_0(N),0}} : X_1 \to X_2)\Big\} \overset{n}{\gtrsim}$$

$$\gtrsim \max\Big\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} d_{\mu_n}(B^{\nu_n,1}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{\nu_n,1}_{p_2,q_2}),\, n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} \cdot 2^{N\left(\frac{p_2}{2}-1\right)\delta},$$

$$n^{-\alpha}\rho(n) d_{\mu_n}(B^{1,\nu_n}_{p_1,q_1},\, l^{1,\nu_n}_{p_2,q_2}),\, n^{-\alpha} \cdot 2^{-j_0(N)\alpha}\rho(2^{j_0(N)}n)\Big\} =$$

$$= \max\Big\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} d_{\mu_n}(B^{\nu_n}_{p_1},\, l^{\nu_n}_{p_2}),\, n^{-\frac{p_2\delta}{2d}},\, n^{-\alpha}\rho(n) d_{\mu_n}(B^{\nu_n}_{q_1},\, l^{\nu_n}_{q_2}),\, n^{-\alpha q_2/2}\rho(n^{q_2/2})\Big\}.$$

Similarly, if $1 < p_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant p_2 < \infty$, $1 < q_1 \leqslant 2 \leqslant q_2 < \infty$, then

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\gtrsim} \max\Bigg\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} \lambda_{\mu_n}(B^{\nu_n}_{p_1},\, l^{\nu_n}_{p_2}),\, n^{-\frac{\min(p_2,\, p_1')\delta}{2d}},$$

$$n^{-\alpha}\rho(n) \lambda_{\mu_n}(B^{\nu_n}_{q_1},\, l^{\nu_n}_{q_2}),\, n^{-\frac{\alpha \min(q_2,\, q_1')}{2}} \rho(n^{\min(q_2,\, q_1')/2})\Bigg\}$$

(we use (20) instead of (19)). It remains to apply Theorem A.

If $p_2 \leqslant 2$ and $q_2 \leqslant 2$, then we similarly get

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \geqslant d_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2) \overset{n}{\gtrsim}$$

$$\gtrsim \max\Big\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}} d_{\mu_n}(B^{\nu_n}_{p_1},\, l^{\nu_n}_{p_2}),\, n^{-\alpha}\rho(n) d_{\mu_n}(B^{\nu_n}_{q_1},\, l^{\nu_n}_{q_2})\Big\} \overset{n}{\asymp} \max\Big\{n^{-\frac{\delta}{d}},\, n^{-\alpha}\rho(n)\Big\}.$$

The same lower estimate holds for $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_n}(P_{\mathcal{N}} : X_1 \to X_2)$, if $p_1 \geqslant 2$, $q_1 \geqslant 2$. $\square$

Acknowledgements

In conclusion, the author expresses her sincere gratitude to I.G. Tsar'kov, A.S. Kochurov, V.D. Stepanov and E.M. Galeev for the discussion of results and reading the manuscript. The research was supported by RFBR under grant no. 10-01-00442.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978).

[2] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983).

[3] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces II* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992).

[4] H. Triebel, *Fractals and Spectra* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997).

[5] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces III* (Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006).

[6] D.E. Edmunds and H. Triebel, *Function spaces, entropy numbers, differential operators*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[7] D.D. Haroske, *Envelopes and sharp embeddings of function spaces*. Volume 437 of Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.

[8] M. Bownik, "Atomic and molecular decompositions of anisotropic Besov spaces", *Math. Z.*, **250**:3 (2005), 539–571.

[9] H.-Q. Bui, "Weighted Besov and Triebel spaces: Interpolation by the real method", *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **12**:3 (1982), 581–605.

[10] M. Frazier, S. Roudenko, "Matrix-weighted Besov spaces and conditions of $\mathcal{A}_p$ type for $0 < p \leqslant 1$", *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **53**:5 (2004), 1225–1254.

[11] D.D. Haroske, I. Piotrowska, "Atomic decompositions of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weights, and some relation to fractal analysis", *Math. Nachr.*, **281**:10 (2008), 1476–1494.

[12] D.D. Haroske, C. Schneider, "Besov spaces with positive smoothness on $\mathbb{R}^n$, embeddings and growth envelopes", *J. Approx. Theory*, **161** (2009), 723–747.

[13] D.D. Haroske, L. Skrzypczak, "Entropy and approximation numbers of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weights", *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, **21**:1 (2008), 135–177.

[14] S. Heinrich, "On the relation between linear n-widths and approximation numbers", *J. Approx. Theory*, **58**:3 (1989), 315–333.

[15] V.M. Tikhomirov, "Diameters of Sets in Functional Spaces and the Theory of Best Approximations", *Russian Math. Surveys*, **15**:3 (1960), 75–111.

[16] V.M. Tikhomirov and S.B. Babadzanov, "Diameters of a Function Class in an L^p-space ($p \geqslant 1$)", *Izv. Akad. Nauk UzSSR, Ser. Fiz. Mat. Nauk*, **11**(2) (1967), 24–30 (in Russian).

[17] A.P. Buslaev and V.M. Tikhomirov, "The Spectra of Nonlinear Differential Equations and Widths of Sobolev Classes", *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **71**:2 (1992), 427–446.

[18] R.S. Ismagilov, "Diameters of Sets in Normed Linear Spaces, and the Approximation of Functions by Trigonometric Polynomials", *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **29**:3 (1974), 169–186.

[19] B.S. Kashin, "The Widths of Certain Finite-Dimensional Sets and Classes of Smooth Functions", *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **11**:2 (1977), 317–333.

[20] V.E. Maiorov, “Discretization of the Problem of Diameters”, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **30**:6 (1975), 179–180.

[21] Yu.I. Makovoz, “A Certain Method of Obtaining Lower Estimates for Diameters of Sets in Banach Spaces”, *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **16**:1 (1972), 139–146.

[22] V.N. Temlyakov, “Approximation of Periodic Functions of Several Variables With Bounded Mixed Derivative”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, *253*:3 (1980), 544–548.

[23] V.N. Temlyakov, “Diameters of Some Classes of Functions of Several Variables”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **267**:3 (1982), 314–317.

[24] V.N. Temlyakov, “Approximation of Functions With Bounded Mixed Difference by Trigonometric Polynomials, and Diameters of Certain Classes of Functions”, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **20**:1 (1983), 173–187.

[25] E.M. Galeev, “Approximation of Certain Classes of Periodic Functions of Several Variables by Fourier Sums in the $\widetilde{L}_p$ Metric”, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, **32**:4 (1977), 251–252 (in Russian).

[26] E.M. Galeev, “The Approximation of Classes of Functions With Several Bounded Derivatives by Fourier Sums”, *Math. Notes*, **23**:2 (1978), 109–117.

[27] B.S. Kashin, “Widths of Sobolev Classes of Small-Order Smoothness”, *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, **36**:5 (1981), 62–66.

[28] E.D. Kulanin, *Estimates for Diameters of Sobolev Classes of Small-Order Smoothness.* Thesis. Candidate Fiz.-Math. Sciences (MGU, Moscow, 1986) (in Russian).

[29] V.M. Tikhomirov, *Some Questions in Approximation Theory.* (Izdat. Moskov. Univ., Moscow, 1976) (in Russian).

[30] V.M. Tikhomirov, “Approximation Theory”. In: *Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions.* vol. 14. (*Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki*) (Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1987), pp. 103–260 (in Russian).

[31] A. Pinkus, *n-widths in approximation theory.* Berlin: Springer, 1985.

[32] A. Pietsch, “s-numbers of operators in Banach space”, *Studia Math.*, **51** (1974), 201–223.

[33] M.I. Stesin, “Aleksandrov Diameters of Finite-Dimensional Sets and of Classes of Smooth Functions”, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **220**:6 (1975), 1278–1281 (in Russian).

[34] E.D. Gluskin, “On some finite-dimensional problems of width theory”, *Physis—Riv. Internaz. Storia Sci.* 23 (1981), no. 2, 5–10, 124 (in Russian).

[35] E.D. Gluskin, “Norms of Random Matrices and Diameters of Finite-Dimensional Sets”, *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **48**:1 (1984), 173–182.

[36] A.Yu. Garnaev and E.D. Gluskin, “The Widths of a Euclidean Ball”, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **30**:1 (1984), 200–204.

[37] K. Mynbaev, M. Otelbaev, *Weighted function spaces and the spectrum of differential operators.* Nauka, Moscow, 1988.

[38] D.D. Haroske, H. Triebel, “Entropy numbers in weighted function spaces and eigenvalue distribution of some degenerate pseudodifferential operators I”, *Math. Nachr.*, **167** (1994), 131–156.

[39] D.D. Haroske, H. Triebel, “Wavelet bases and entropy numbers in weighted function spaces”, *Math. Nachr.*, 278:(1–2) (2005), 108–132.

[40] L. Skrzypczak, “On approximation numbers of Sobolev embeddings of weighted function spaces”, *J. Approx. Theory*, **136** (2005), 91–107.

[41] Th. Kühn, “Entropy numbers of general diagonal operators”, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, **18**:2 (2005), 479–491.

[42] Th. Kühn, “Entropy numbers in sequence spaces with an application to weighted function spaces”, *J. Approx. Theory*, **153**:1 (2008), 40–52.

[43] Th. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel, and L. Skrzypczak. “Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces”. Jenaer Schriften zur Mathematik und Informatik Math/Inf/13/03, p. 1–57, Universität Jena, Germany, 2003.

[44] Th. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel, and L. Skrzypczak. “Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces”, *Constr. Approx.*, **23** (2006), 61–77.

[45] Th. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel, L. Skrzypczak, “Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces II”, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **49** (2006), 331–359.

[46] Th. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel, and L. Skrzypczak, “Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces III. Weights of logarithmic type”, *Math. Z.*, **255**:1 (2007), 1–15.

[47] D.D. Haroske, L. Skrzypczak, “Entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weights, II. General weights”, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **36**:1 (2011), 111–138.

[48] D.D. Haroske, L. Skrzypczak, “Entropy numbers of embeddings of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weights, III. Some limiting cases,” *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **9**:2 (2011), 129–178.

[49] A.M. Caetano, “About approximation numbers in function spaces”, *J. Approx. Theory* **94** (1998), 383–395.

[50] A. Gąsiorowska, L. Skrzypczak, “Some s-numbers of embeddings of function spaces with weights of logarithmic type”, *Math. Nachr.*, 1–15 (2012) / DOI 10.1002/mana.201100086.

[51] Shun Zhang, Gensun Fang, “Gelfand and Kolmogorov numbers of Sobolev embeddings of weighted function spaces”, *J. Compl.*, **28** (2012), 209–223.

[52] Shun Zhang, Gensun Fang, Fanglun Huang, “Gelfand and Kolmogorov numbers of Sobolev embeddings of weighted function spaces. II”, arXiv:1105.5499v3.

[53] J. Vybiral, “Widths of embeddings in function spaces”, *Journal of Complexity*, **24** (2008), 545–570.

[54] Th. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel, and L. Skrzypczak, “Entropy numbers of Sobolev embeddings of radial Besov spaces”, *J. Approx. Theory* **121** (2003), 244–268.

[55] A. Gąsiorowska, “Gelfand and Kolmogorov numbers of embedding of radial Besov and Sobolev spaces”, *Function spaces IX*, 91–106, Banach Center Publ., 92, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2011.

[56] A.A. Vasil’eva, “Kolmogorov widths and approximation numbers of Sobolev classes with singular weights”, *Algebra i Analiz*, **24**:1 (2012), 3–39.

[57] E.M. Galeev, “Kolmogorov diameters of classes of periodic functions of one and several variables.”, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **36**:2 (1991), 435–448.

[58] A.D. Izaak,“Kolmogorov widths in finite-dimensional spaces with mixed norm”, *Math. Notes*, **55**:1–2 (1994), 30–36.

[59] E.M. Galeev, “Kolmogorov widths of some finite-dimensional sets in a mixed norm.”, *Math. Notes*, **58**:1–2 (1996), 774–778.